#### CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

DURHAM DIVISION

LIGGETT GROUP, INC.

PLA INTIPP

-against-

BROWN & WILLIAMSON TOBACCO

CORPORATION

2

 $\bigcirc$ 

DEFENDANT

CIVIL ACTION NO. C 84-617-D

BROWN & WILLIAMSON TOBACCO CORPORATION

PLAINTIFF

0

- against-

LIGGETT GROUP, INC. and GENERIC PRODUCTS CORPORATION,

COUNTERCLAIM-DEFENDANTS

DEPONENT:

BARTON H. FREEDMAN

DATE:

June 28, 1985

REPORTER:

ALICE TANNENBAUM

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN
COURT REPORTERS
The Whitestone Building
607 West Main Street, Suite L-3
Louisville, Kentucky 40202

(502) 587-1984

ß

 $\bigcirc$ 

#### INDEX

#### EXHIBITS

PAGE			3	
20		1	NO.	EXHIBIT
27		2	NO.	EXH IB IT
32	) )	<b>3</b>	NO.	EXHIBIT
48		4	NO.	EXH IB IT
53		5	NO.	EXHIBIT
103		6	NO.	EXH IB IT

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

APPEARANCES:

4

William H. Hogeland Adam C. Barker Attorneys for Plaintiff Webster & Sheffield 1 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10020

Martin Flumenbaum
C. William Phillips
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind,
Wharton & Garrison
Attorneys for Defendant,
Brown & Williamson
345 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10154

ALSO PRESENT:

S

Elizabeth Forman, Webster & Sheffield

The deposition of BARTON H. PREEDMAN was taken on behalf of the Plaintiff, before Alice Tannenbaum, Notary Public for the State of Kentucky at Large, in the offices of Woodward, Hobson & Fulton, 2500 First National Tower, Louisville, Kentucky, on June 28, 1985. Said deposition was taken pursuant to notice and subpoena for purposes of discovery and as provided by the Pederal Rules of Civil Procedure.

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD, & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky (502) 587-1984

B24 13753

Ç

4

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND

AGREED, by and among the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that the sealing and filing of the within deposition be waived; that such deposition may be signed and sworn to before any officer authorized to administer an oath, with the same force and effect as if signed and sworn to before the officer before whom said deposition is taken.

AGREED that all objections, except as to form, are reserved to the time of trial.

824 13754

-5

	Freedman
1	BARTON FREEDMAN,
2	called upon oral examination by counsel for the
3	Plaintiff, after having been first duly sworn, was
43	examined and deposed as follows:
5	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Before we start
6	I'd like to make a brief statement on the record. Mr.
7	Preedman was served with the notice of deposition. He
8	is counsel for Brown & Williamson. We subsequently
9	informed counsel for Liggett that Mr. Freedman
10	intended to assert all applicable attorney-client and
11	work product privileges. Nevertheless, Liggett
12	insisted that Mr. Freedman appear here.
13	He is here; however, his
14	appearance here is not to be interpreted in any way as
15	any waiver of any attorney-client privilege or work
16	product privilege, and we do intend to assert all
17	existing privileges to the full extent applicable.
18	You may proceed.
19	MR. HOGELAND: Okay.
20	* * * * *
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. HOGELAND:
23	Q. Mr. Preedman, would you state
24	your full name for the record, please?
25	A. Barton H. Freedman.
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

Freeman 1 You are employed at Brown & Q. 2 Williamson; is that correct? <del>-</del>3, 3 Α. 2 Q. Where is your office? 5 1500 Brown & Williamson Tower, 6 Louisville, Kentucky. 7 Q. And vour home address? REPACTED B 9 10 I think the situation as such, 11 we may try to telescope the usual warm-up questions. 12 I would like to state my understanding of your career 13 in the last 20 years, and you tell me whether I'm 14 right. 15 MR. FLUMENBAUM: Well, I'm going 16 to probably object to that as to form. Why don't you 17 ask questions? 18 0. Do I correctly understand, Er. 19 Preedman, that you received an undergraduate degree 20 from New York University in 1966 and a JD from Fordham in 1969? 21 22 λ. Yes. 23 And were you employed as a staff 24 attorney of the Pederal Trade Commission in Cleveland, 25 from 1969 to 1972? Redacted information not available for public review TANNENBAUM, KUCH ENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky (502) 587-1984 B24 13756

,			
		Freedmar.	5
	ı	Α.	I can't answer that question the
	್ರ 2	way you asked it.	
	3	Q.	What did you do after you
	7	received your law deg	ree?
	5	<b>A.</b>	I was employed by the Pederal
	6		leveland, Ohio, from 1969 to
	7	1972.	
	8	ு Q∙	And did you then change jobs?
	9	Α.	Yes.
	10	<sup>2</sup> Q∙	And what was your next job?
	11	Α.	I was employed by General
	12	Electric Company, Maj	or Appliance Business Group,
	13	Louisville, Kentucky,	October 1972.
<b>(</b>	14		MR. FLUMENBAUM: He didn't ask
	15	you a date.	
	16	Q.	What was the period of your
	17	employment at General	Electric?
	18	λ.	October 1972 through August
	19	1975.	<i>↔</i>
	20	Q.	What was the position you held
	21	at General Electric?	
	22	A.	I was an attorney.
	23	Q.	And that was here in Louisville?
	24	<b>A.</b>	Yes.
	25	Q.	With the Appliance Division; is
	,	Louisvill	, KUCHENBROD & PATEN e, Kentucky 40202 02) 587-1984
			B24 13757

#### (B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Freedman that correct? 1 2 A. Appliance Group. 3 Q. Appliance Group. Did you give B substantive attention to the period of your employment 5 with General Electric to questions in any particular area of the law? 6 7 Yes. 8 S What was the area or the areas? 9 A. Antitrust law, trade regulation 10 law, commercial law. 11 Q. Any others that come to mind? 12 MR. PLUMENBAUM: Are you asking 13 for areas which he devoted substantially most of his 14 time or anything --15 MR. HOGELAND: No, that he gave 16 substantive attention to. 17 MR. PLUMENBAUM: As you know, a 18 lawyer has a broad range --19 MR. HOGELAND: I think the 20 question is clear. 21 MR. FLUMENBAUM: I think he answered it to the -- your original question I think 22 23 he answered. 24 And then in 1975 you came to Q. 25 Brown & Williamson; is that correct? TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN 40202

Louisville, Kentucky (502) 587-1984

B24 13758

3.

#### (B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Freedman A. Yes. 1 When in 1975? 2 0. August 4th. 3 Α. 3 As I understand it, you were a staff attorney at Brown & Williamson from August 4, 5 6 1975 until 1979; is that correct? MR. PLUMENBAUM: Objection as to 7 form. o 8 You may answer. 9 Q. 3 MR. FLUMENBAUM: Do you want the 10 11 question repeated? MR. HOGELAND: Read it back. 12 (Whereupon the Court Reporter read the 13 14 record.) I don't understand what you mean 15 by staff attorney, and I can't answer. 16 What was your position at Brown 17 Q. & Williamson beginning August 4, 1975? 18 I was an attorney in the law 19 A. department at Brown & Williamson. 20 And --21 Q. From August 24th, 1975 till 22 whatever date in 1979. 23 24 But you did not have a title Q. staff attorney; is that correct? 25 9 TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

824 13759

#### (B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Freedman 1 A. I think I answered my title as 2 attorney. 3 Q. And not staff attorney? 4 I believe so. 5 And then did your title change Q. in 1979? 6 7 Yes. And what did your title become 8 Q. 9 in 1979? 10 Ά. Marketing counsel. 11 And that is your position today; Q. 12 is that correct? 13 Yes. 14 Q. What were your duties -- let me Did your duties change when your 15 put it this way: 16 title changed? Not that I can recall. 17 18 Would you describe your duties now as marketing attorney? 19 20 MR. FLUMENBAUM: 21 counsel is what he said. 22 MR. HOGELAND: Marketing 23 counsel. 24 I provide legal advice to clients of Brown & Williamson who seek it from me, 25 TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202

(502) 587-1984

#### (B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Ç Freedman mainly clients in the marketing area, but anybody else 1 2 who seeks legal advice. <del>ر</del>ۇب Are there particular areas of 3 43 the law to which you give substantive attention a greater part of the time than other areas? 5 MR. PLUMENBAUM: Object as to 6 form, but you can answer that. 7 My primary area of 8 specialization is in the antitrust trade regulation 9 and related marketing law areas. 10 11 0. In performing your duties as marketing counsel, to whom do you report? 12 I report to the deputy general 13 Α. counsel, vice president -- let me correct that, it's 14 15 vice president, deputy general counsel. As a practical matter in your 16 17 day-to-day performance of your duties, do you receive directly assignments and requests for legal advice 18 19 from Brown & Williamson operating personnel? Can I hear the question back, 20 A. 21 please?

(Whereupon the Court Reporter read the record.)

A. Yes.

22

23

24

25

Are those Brown & Williamson Q.

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

824 13761

	Freedman 10
ı	personnel primarily in the sales and marketing?
2	A. I receive many requests for
3	legal advice and assistance from marketing and sales
4	personnel.
5	Q. In performing your duties as
6	marketing counsel, do you give substantive attention
7	to such matters as pricing and pricing strategies?
8	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm going to
9	object as to form, and I think you are coming close to
10	asking substantive questions involving attorney-client
11	privilege. It's describing
12	MR. HOGELAND: I'm asking
13	whether he gives substantive attention to that area.
14	MR. FLUMENBAUM: He's described
15	in general terms his responsibilities. You are
16	getting close to asking him specifically what he may
17	discuss with various people, and I will let him answer
18	this question, but I think you are going to I'm not
19	going to let him go beyond that if you are going to
20	try to get details as to any discussions or
21	MR. HOGELAND: Let's not
22	anticipate problems, let's have this question which is
23	perfectly proper and doesn't go anywhere near the
24	attorney-client privilege.
25	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I think you are
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATER

(502) 587-1984

	Freedman 11
1	getting close.
,s\ 2	MR. HOGELAND: Read the
3	question, please.
4	(Whereupon the Court Reporter read the
5	record.)
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. In performing those duties do
8	you give substantive attention to such matters as
9	design specifications for packages of cigarettes?
10	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Are you asking
11	in particular with any particular packaging or
12	MR. HOGELAND: No, it's a
13	general question.
14	MR. FLUMENBAUM: We are dealing
15	with a specific lawsuit, though, and as you know from
16	documents and prior testimony, Mr. Preedman attended
17	certain meetings at which packaging was discussed, so
18	I'm just
19	MR. HOGELAND: This is dis-
20	covery, Marty, I'm asking questions.
21	MR. FLUMENBAUM: But you are
22	asking discovery of a lawyer, and
23	MR. HOGELAND: Yes.
24	MR. FLUMENBAUM: and a lawyer
25	who is charged with asserting attorney-client
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202

(502) 587-1984

#### Freedman 12 1 privilege, and, you know, I don't think it's an 2 appropriate deposition to start with, and again I don't think -- I'll let him answer this because I 3 A 4 think based on the documents you know that he attended 5 some meetings that deal with packaging, so you know what the answer is, but I'm not going to let him go 6 into detail on that. I'm just telling you that right 7 8 now. 🌣 9 MR. HOGELAND: Re-read the question, please. 10 (Whereupon the Court Reporter read the 11 record.) 12 13 MR. FLUMENBAUM: Can you answer 14 that? THE WITNESS: I'm having trouble 15 with the word specifications before I answer. 16 17 MR. PLUMENBAUM: Can you 18 rephrase the question? 19 MR. HOGELAND: I'm asking 20 another question now. MR. PLUMENBAUM: 21 Go ahead. 22 Q. What do design specifications 23 mean to you? 24 MR. FLUMENBAUM: Object as to 25 form. I instruct him not to answer. TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN

(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

824 13764

	Preedman
1	MR. HOGELAND: You are
rs 2	instructing him not to answer?
3	MR. FLUMENBAUM: His role here
<i>A</i>	is not to answer what you mean by a term used in your
5	question. So I'm not going to have him
6	MR. HOGELAND: You are
7	instructing him not to answer, Marty?
8	MR. FLUMENBAUM: That question,
9	yes, just that question as you worded it.
10	MR. HOGELAND: Do we have the
11	
12	stipulations at the Hunter deposition agreed to, the normal stipulations?
12	
14	MR. HOGELAND: All objections
15	except as to form are waived and the signing can take
16	place anywhere.
17	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Are waived or
18	reserved?
19	MR. HOGELAND: Reserved.
20	MR. FLUMENBAUM: All objections
21	except as to form are reserved.
22	MR. HOGELAND: Re-read the
23	question, please.
24	(Whereupon the Court Reporter read the
25	record.)
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

#### (B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER 14 Freedman MR. HOGELAND: You've instructed 1 2 the witness not to answer? MR. FLUMENBAUM: A term that he 3

ৡ never used that you used, and you are asking him what he understands your term to mean, that question I think 5 is objectionable. 6

MR. HOGELAND: And you are instructing him not to answer? I will press for the answer.

Э MR. FLUMENBAUM: That's right. I don't want him to guess what you mean by that phrase. Ask him a question.

MR. HOGELAND: I did ask him a question, you instructed him not answer it. the local practice is I would certify that.

(The question on Page 12, Line 22 was certified to the Court for ruling.).

In the course of your duties do 18 0. you give substantive attention to cost questions?

Cost of what?

Cost of production of 21

cigarettes. 22

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

23

24

25

MR. PLUMENBAUM: That's an area that's not permissible in this deposition under the Pebruary 5th order.

> TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

> > 824 13766

.

	Presiman 15
1	MR. HOGELAND: I'm in dis-
2	covery, Marty, I can ask this question. Are you
3	instructing him not to answer?
4	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I don't think
5	that's a proper question.
6	MR. HOGELAND: Are you in-
7	structing him not to answer?
8	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Do you believe
9	that you can ask questions relating to cost in this
10	deposition?
11	MR. HOGELAND: I asked him if in
12	the course of his duties he gives substantive
13	attention to matters of costs. Are you instructing
14	him not to answer?
15	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I'll let him
16	answer that, but I think that's an improper question,
17	and I'm going to object as to form and based on the
18	outstanding order.
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. In the course of your duties do
21	you give substantive attention to customer lists?
22	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to
23	form. Can you answer that question?
24	A. I don't mean to be picky, but I
25	don't know what substantive attention to a customer
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

		Freedman 16
	ı	list is.
lèr	2	MR. FLUMENBAUM: That's my
	3	objection.
	4	Q. Do you deal with customer lists:
	5	O MR. PLUMENBAUM: Does he see
	6	customer lists?
	7	Q. In the course of your duties do
	8	you obtain information about customer lists?
	9	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Objection. I
]	10	think that is going into the work product and
]	11	attorney-client matters.
1	12	MR. HOGELAND: Are you instructing
	13	him not to answer?
	14	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm objecting
1	15	on the grounds of attorney-client privilege and work
1	16	product.
]	17	MR. HOGELAND: I'm asking for an
3	8 8	answer to my question.
1	19	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I've objected
2	20	on grounds of attorney-client privilege
:	21	MR. HOGELAND: Are you instructing
2	22	the witness not to answer?
2	23	MR. PLUMENBAUM: That particular
2	24	question, yes.
:	25	MR. HOGELAND: Well, again
		TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984
		B24 13768 -

http://legacy.library.ucsf.edu/tro/ehropa00/pdf/.industrydecuments.ucsf.edu/docs/kmxl0001

		rreedman 1/
	1	MR. FLUMENBAUM: That's all
	·A 2	right.
	3	MR. HOGELAND: I'll press for an
	4	answer, and I'll certily it.
	5	C (The question on Page 16, Line 7 was
	6	certified to the Court for ruling.)
	7	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Just so the
	8	record is clear, he also said he doesn't understand
	9	what you meant by your question, but I think it's
	10	objectionable as to form as well.
	11	Q. In 1984, Mr. Freedman, did it
	12	come to your attention that Brown & Williamson
	13	intended to manufacture and market the generic
	14	cigarette product?
L	15	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection.
	16	Attorney-client privilege, Work product. Instruct
	17	him not to answer.
	18	MR. HOGELAND: Are you going to
	19	instruct him not to answer any questions?
	20	MR. FLUMENBAUM: As I indicated
	21	to you in a letter that I sent to you after receiving
	22	the notice, Mr. Preedman intended to assert all
	23	applicable attorney-client and work product
	24	privileges. You insisted that he appear here.
	25	MR. HOGELAND: All right.
ر ۱		TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

18 Freedman O. In 1984, Mr. Freedman, did Brown 1 2 & Williamson commence the manufacture and sale of generic cigarettes? 3 ₹}} MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm not going to permit him to answer that on the grounds of 5 attorney-client privilege and work product privilege. 6 MR. HOGELAND: Mr. Plumenbaum, 7 you are asserting the privilege with respect to a 8 9 matter as to which there is no confidential status. 10 MR. FLUMENBAUM: Well, if you 11 know the answer to your question, then ask him 12 questions for the record that you want to establish He is an attorney for Brown & Williamson, his 13 information is derived primarily from people that he 14 gives legal advice to, and any knowledge that he has 15 16 that he obtains from functioning as an attorney you 17 are not permitted to go into today. 18 MR. HOGELAND: Well, if it's not confidential information, I completely disagree with 19 20 your statement of law, and I'll press for an answer. I frequently ask questions that I know the answer to in 21 22 depositions; so do you. 23 MR. PLUMBNBAUM: But you are 24 here with an attorney, and I think you have to be more precise and get directly to the point you want to 25

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

Freedman

information.

[ 1

make, and, you know, I don't see any reason to allow Mr. Preedman -- Mr. Freedman is not here to tell you what's in the public record and what's not in the public record. And to the extent that any of your questions need to be answered through information that you could have obtained through and did obtain through confidential communications, I'm not going to permit him to answer. You don't need Mr. Freedman for that

that information he obtains which is and is intended to be disclosed to other persons is not confidential information. And the mere fact that he is an attorney does not prohibit -- does not give rise to a right to deny Liggett to discovery we are seeking.

MR. FLUMENBAUM: We are not denying you the right to seek discovery. You've noticed at least 20 witnesses from Brown & Williamson, and you can obtain whatever discovery is legitimate through those witnesses. You do not need Mr. Preedman here to answer those questions.

MR. HOGELAND: Liggett has noticed Mr. Preedman's deposition and has a right to take Mr. Freedman's deposition.

MR. PLUMENBAUM: And I have a

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

B24 13771

 $\epsilon_{\tau}$ 

	Freedman 20
1	right to object on the grounds of attorney-client
2	privilege.
3	MR. HOGELAND: You do object on
4	the grounds of attorney-client privilege, and we'll
5	have to find out whether you have a right to.
6	Could you mark this, please, as
7	Preedman Exhibit 1?
8	(Document No. 13073 through 13078 were marked
9	Freedman Deposition Exhibit 1, for
10	identification, copies of which are attached
11	hereto and made a part hereof.)
12	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Do you have a
13	copy of that exhibit?
14	MR. HOGELAND: No. As you know
15	we are in severe limitations of the number of copies
16	of documents that we have marked confidential that we
17	can make.
18	MR. BARKER: I believe the
19	practice in prior depositions is to read the copy
20	that's been marked.
21	MR. HOGELAND: I have asked the
22	reporter to mark as Freedman Exhibit 1 a document
23	bearing Baw Bate numbers 13073 through 13078.
24	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Since we are
25	marking confidential documents in the deposition, I'm $_{\odot}$

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEK Louisville, Kentucky (502) 587-1984

B24 13772

20

rreedman
going to designate the entire deposition transcript as
confidential, of Mr. Freedman.
Q. Mr. Freedman, I show you the
oocument marked Freedman Exhibit 1, and ask you if you
can identify it.
MR. FLUMENBAUM: Look through
the whole document, please.
A. I can identify the June 21st
transmittal memo from me to my various clients, and it
attaches a document issued under the name of L. W.
Butler, Vice President of Sales.
Q. Your memorandum is addressed to
Mr. Butler, is it not?
A. Yes.
Q. And your memorandum states on
its face that it is attaching the document you refer
to from L. W. Butler; is that correct?
MR. PLUMENBAUM: Objection as to
form. The document says attached is a notice which
you are free to disseminate to our managers. It
doesn't specify the Butler document, although the
Butler document is next to it in numbers.
MR. HOGELAND: And was produced
attached to it.
MR. PLUMENBAUM: As I look at
TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202

B24 13773 s

,	Freedman 22
1	the document, it's quite possible that the cover
<sub>3</sub> 2	page the attachment was produced in error, since
3	it's a clearly privileged communication between $\kappa r$ .
4	Freeuman and Mr. Butler.
5	MR. HOGELAND: You'll notice
6	c that something has been redacted.
7	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Yes, I know.
8	MR. HOGELAND: I assume that
9	that is privileged. If we ever had a privilege law
10	from you we'd know.
11	MR. FLUMENBAUM: It's possible
12	that the whole thing should have been redacted, but I
13	guess
14	MR. HOGELAND: It wasn't.
15	MR. FLUMENBAUM: in an
16	attempt to be cooperative, we gave you the documents,
17	and we gave you the cover sheet from Mr. Freedman.
18	What is your next question?
19	Q. Do you recognize the attach-
20	ment, Mr. Preedman?
21	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Have you ever
22	seen it before?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Did you create it?
25	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection.
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202

(502) 587-1984

:	Freedman 23
1	What do you mean?
A 2	Q. Did you draft it?
3	A. I did not draft it.
4	Q. Dio you work on it in any way
5	and make any input into it?
6	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I'm going to
7	object that you are asking for work product and
8	attorney-client privilege. We've produced
9	MR. HOGELAND: There is nothing
10	confidential about it, it's been produced.
11	MR. PLUMENBAUM: It's been
12	produced, but what his role in terms of the document
13	that's been produced, that's what you are asking him
14	now, and I don't think that's appropriate. The
15	document itself has been produced because it was sent
16	by Mr. Butler to various field managers.
17	MR. HOGELAND: Are you
18	testifying that it was?
19	MR. PLUMENBAUM: That's what the
20	document seems to you'll have to ask Mr. Butler for
21	sure. But whether Mr. Freedman had any input in that
22	document and prepared it is work product and attorney-
23	client.
24	Q. Mr. Preedman, do you know if
25	this document was sent out by Mr. Butler?
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATER Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

26 Freedman 1 MR. FLUMENBAUM: That particular 2 question. The document is stamped confidential. document itself speaks for itself. You are not going 3 to get Mr. Freedman to comment on that document at 5 this deposition if that's what --MR. HOGELAND: You are going to 6 instruct him to answer no questions with respect to 7 this document; is that correct? 8 9 MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm going to instruct him not to tell you what his legal opinion is 10 of these documents. 11 12 MR. HOGELAND: I haven't asked I don't intend to. 13 him that. MR. PLUMENBAUM: Or what advice 14 he gave to Mr. Butler or anything else relating to 15 16 You've noticed Mr. Butler's deposition, you can ask Mr. Butler questions about the document, if you 17 18 like, but not Mr. Freedman. MR. HOGELAND: I have not asked 19 Mr. Preedman any questions about --20 MR. PLUMENBAUM: You asked him 21 if the document is confidential. I don't know what 22 23 you mean by confidential. It's stamped confidential. So in that sense it's confidential. The document was 24

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

to be passed within Brown & Williamson --

B24 13776

25

	rreedman/
1	MR. HOGELAND: I'll press for
<sub>7</sub> 3 2 │	answers to my questions. The document has been
3	produced in this litigation.
4	MR. FLUMENBAUE: That's right.
5	MR. HOGELAND: Would you mark
6	this as Freedman Deposition Exhibit 2, please?
7	(The Document Nos. 14705 through 14710
8	ಿ were marked Freedman Exhibit 2, for
9	identification, copies of which are attached
10	hereto and made a part hereof.)
11	Q. Mr. Freedman, I've asked Miss
12	Tannenbaum to mark as Freedman Exhibit 2 a document
13	bearing B&W Bate numbers 14705 through 14710, and I
14	ask you if you have seen that document before?
15	A. Yes, I have.
16	Q. Did you prepare the document
17	attached to your to the first page of Preedman
18	Exhibit 2?
19	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection. You
20	are asking for work product and attorney-client
21	privilege.
22	MR. HOGELAND: If the answer is
23	no, you'll let him answer as you did with the previous
24	one, but this one you won't let him answer?
25	MR. PLUMENBAUM: No. I probably
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

į	rreeulan 20
1	shouldn't have let him answer the other one. And as
<sub>-3</sub> 2	you know, you should not make any assumption as to
3	what as to whether an assertion of attorney-client
4	privilege does not mean that
5	MR. HOGELAND: That's why I was
6	asking the question because you didn't assert it when
7	the answer was no.
8	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Well, as I
9	said, I may have made a mistake, Mr. Hogeland. The
10	assertion of the privilege does not necessarily mean
11	that the answer is one way or another. It just is an
12	assertion of privilege, and I think
13	MR. HOGELAND: And it's a
14	discretion not to answer.
15	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Yes.
16	MR. HOGELAND: I assume, Mr.
17	Flumenbaum, you are instructing him not to answer any
18	other questions about this document?
19	MR. PLUMENBAUM: It depends what
20	the questions are.
21	MR. HOGELAND: You want me to go
22	through them all?
23	BY MR. ECGELAND:
24	Q. Mr. Preedman, did you receive
25	any questions as a result of your sending Preedman

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

	Freedman 25
1	Exhibit 2?
2	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Attorney-client
3,	privilege. Instruct you not to answer. By the way,
4	when I use the term attorney-client privilege, I'm
5	also including the work product privilege.
6	MR. HOGELAND: I think if you
7	want both, you better say both.
8	MR. FLUMENBAUM: All right.
9	Attorney-client privilege, work product privilege.
10	Q. Mr. Freedman, before sending the
11	document which is attached to the internal correspond-
12	ence form being the first page of Exhibit 2, did you
13	discuss the contents of the attachment with anyone?
14	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection.
15	Attorney-client privilege. Work product privilege.
16	Q. Did you discuss the contents of
17	the attachment which is part of Preedman Exhibit 2,
18	with anyone outside Brown & Williamson?
19	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Other than
20	possibly lawyers for Brown & Williamson?
21	MR. HOGELAND: The question is
22	with anyone.
23	MR. PLUMENBAUM: No, no, no.
24	With respect to your question as to anyone, I've told
25	him I'm asserting the privilege. Now you've asked the
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Rentucky 40202  (502) 587-1984

824

13779

http://legacy.library.ucsf.edu/tro/edhropa00/pdf.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/kmxl0001

	Freedman 30
1	questions outside of Brown & Williamson
a 2	MR. HOGELAND: Yes.
3	MR. FLUMENBAUM: but it's un-
4	clear to me whether you are excluding lawyers from
5	Brown & Williamson in that question or not. Outside
6	of Brown & Williamson. You want to clarify the
7	question?
8	Q. The question is quite clear.
9	Anyone outside of Brown & Williamson. Answer it yes
10	or no.
11	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Including
12	outside lawyers.
13	MR. HOGELAND: Anyone.
14	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Then I instruct
15	him not to answer that on that basis.
16	Q. Did you discuss the attachment,
17	which is part of Freedman Exhibit 2, with anyone other
18	than an employee or lawyer retained by Brown &
19	Williamson?
20	A. Not that I recall.
21	Q. Your best recollection is that
22	you did not; is that correct?
23	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection.
24	He's answered your question.
25	MR. HOGELAND: Are you instruct-
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

	Freedman 31
1	ing him not answer that?
;ê 2	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Yes. It's a
3	rhetorical argumentative question.
4	MR. HOGELAND: I'm asking for
5 6	his best recollection.  MR. FLUMENBAUM: He gave it to
_	you. He said not that I recall. Rephrasing his
7 8	question will not
9	MR. HOGELAND: Are you in-
	3
10	structing him not to answer?
11	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Your question,
12	yes, as worded, yes; no basis for that.
13	MR. HOGELAND: You are de-
14	priving Liggett of discovery, and you know it.
15	MR. FLUMENBAUM: No, I'm not.
16	MR. HOGELAND: Yes, you are.
17	MR. FLUMENBAUM: He's answered
18	your question.
19	MR. HOGELAND: I'm in discovery,
20	Mr. Flumenbaum.
21	Q. Mr. Preedman, who is Larry Amos?
22	A. Larry Amos is senior attorney at
23	Brown & Williamson in the law department.
24	Q. Does he report to you?
25	A. Yes.
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

	Freedman 32
1	MR. HOGELAND: Mark this as
2	Freedman Exhibit 3, please.
3	(The letter of November 4, 1985 and
Ĉ.	attachments were marked Freedman
5	Deposition Exhibit 3, for identification,
6	copies of which are attached hereto and
7	made a part hereof.)
8	O Q. Mr. Freedman
9	MR. FLUMENBAUM: One minute,
10	please.
11	Q. Mr. Preedman, I have asked Miss
12	Tannenbaum to mark as Freedman Exhibit 3 documents
13	bearing B&W Bate number 12645 through 12649. I show
14	you Freedman Exhibit 3, and ask you if you have seen
15	it before.
16	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Look through
17	all of it.
18	It's my recollection, Mr.
19	Hogeland, that this deposition that you've marked as
20	Exhibit 3 was not produced stapled together, but we
21	can double-check that when we get back to New York.
22	MR. HOGBLAND: The Bate numbers
23	are clear, and we are calling them Freedman 3.
24	MR. PLUMENBAUM: You can call
25	any number
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

.4

33 Freedman 1 MR. HOGELAND: Let me finish. 2 MR. FLUMENBAUM: documents Exhibit 3, but I'm -- so the record is 3 clear, I don't believe that these documents were 5 together, and --MR. HOGELAND: So the record is 6 7 clear, we received them together, but it doesn't matter. They are your documents. 8 MR. FLUMENBAUM: They are 9 documents, but I'm just suggesting to you that it is 10 11 not a single unitary document. What's the question with respect 12 to Freedman Exhibit 3? MR. HOGELAND: Would you read the question, please? 15 16 (The Court Reporter read the record.) Would you please ask me without 17 Α. 18 using the words seen it? Due to Mr. Flumenbaum's 19 Q. statement, I will. Have you seen any part of it 20 before? 21 22 Yes. Can you identify the parts that 23 you have seen before? 24 25 I have seen those pages marked TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

		Freedman	3.4
,	1	on 0012645, 12646 and	1 12647. I have never seen 648 or
	<b>-</b> ↑ 2	649 to the best of my	y knowledge.
	3	Q.	Are you familiar with Mr. Amos!
	4	handwriting?	
	5	o A.	Yes.
	6	Q.	Do you recognize the handwriting
	7	on the portions of Fi	eedman 3 which you have not seen
	8	before?	
	9	<b>аА.</b>	No, I don't.
	10	Ω.	Are you able to identify it as
	11	not Mr. Amos' handwri	ting? That's unfair. It does
	12	not appear to you	
	13	A. ?	I don't want to speculate.
1	14	Q.	Okay. You are familiar with his
ل ا	15	handwriting?	
	16	λ.	Yes.
	17	Q.	And you don't recognize the
	18	handwriting on these	two pages; is that correct?
	19		MR. FLUMENBAUM: You have to
	20	answer yes.	<b>্</b>
	21		THE WITNESS: I thought I did.
	22	<b>A.</b>	I don't recognize the
	23	handwriting.	·
	24	Q.	As being either Mr. Amos' hand
	25	or	3
<u>.                                    </u>		Louisvill	RUCHENBROD & PATEN  e, Kentucky 40202
	ļ		204 40704

	Freedman 35
1	A. I'm not able to testify that
2	it's not Mr. Amos'
3 ,>	Q. Or anybody else?
4	A or anybody else.
5	Q. You can testify it's not yours?
6	A. I can testify to that.
7	Q. Are you familiar with the
8	subject matter of Mr. Amos' letter which he sent to
9	Mr. Jarzabek, which is the first two pages of Freedman
10	Exhibit 3?
11	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'll let him
12	answer that question, but I'll let him answer
13	without waiving: on the agreement that his answer
14	will not waive the attorney-client privilege as to any
15	substantive question because of the breadth of that
16	question.
17	MR. HOGELAND: Without
18	committing myself, you won't make a mistake, Mr.
19	Plumenbaum, I don't think there is much danger that
20	you are going to waive anything today.
21	MR. PLUMENBAUM: It's not my
22	intention to waive anything. I'll let him answer
23	that. I just want it understood I'll let him answer
24	that, but I'm not doing that on the theory that I'm
25	going to allow him to waive it.
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202

(502) 587-1984

	Freedman 30
1	A. I knew that Mr. Jarzabek had
್ಯ 2	contacted me several times to get some information
3	about the generic pricing structure in general. I was
4	unable to return the calls, and I asked Mr. Amos to
5	get with him, see what he wanted and reply.
6	Q. What were the nature of the
7	questions Mr. Jarzabek addressed to you?
8	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Do you want to
9	first ask him if he talked to Mr. Jarzabek? There is
10	an assumption there is gap between his answer and
11	your question.
12	Q. Now, how did Mr. Jarzabek
13	communicate with you?
14	MR. FLUMENBAUM: There is still
15	a gap between he said that I believe what Mr.
16	Preedman just said was that he received messages from
17	Mr. Jarzabek, and he asked Mr. Amos to return Mr.
18	Jarzabek's call. That's what I understood his answer
19	to be. If you want to ask him if he actually talked to
20	Mr. Jarzabek
21	Q. In what form did you receive the
22	messages from Mr. Jarzabek?
23	A. Telephone messages from my
24	secretary.
25	Q. And you did not return any of
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

824 13786

	Freedman 37
1	those calls?
2	A. No, sir.
3	Q. And you asked Mr. Amos to?
<i>^&gt;</i>	A. Yes.
5	Q. So you never communicated with
6	Mr. Jarzabek?
7	A. To the best of my
8	On this subject.
9	A. To the best of my recollection I
10	never did speak to Mr. Jarzabek.
11	Q. Did you conduct the inquiry or
12	participate in the inquiry that is reported the
13	results of which are reported by Mr. Amos to Mr.
14	Jarzabek in the first two pages of Preedman Exhibit 3?
15	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I'm going to
16	object as to form and to the extent that there is a
17	substantive answer there that I believe would be
18	covered by attorney-client and work product privilege.
19	The only thing that is not privileged would be the
20	communication to the third party, and that you have,
21	which is the letter that's now been marked as the
22	first three pages of Freedman 3.
23	Q. The inquiry that was conducted
24	by Mr. Amos or reported on by Mr. Amos was undertaken
25	with the for the purpose of reporting to Mr.

	Freedman 38
1	Jarzabek; is that correct, Mr. Preedman?
<i>-</i> ∂3 2	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm going to
3	object as to form. You are asking Mr. Freedman to
4	irst, the question relates to Mr. Amos' state of
5	mind, and Mr. Preedman can't respond to that.
6	Second, you have the communi-
7	cation that's not privileged. I think any communi-
8	cation between Mr. Freedman and Mr. Amos would be
9	privileged as well, and, therefore, I think your
10	question violates the attorney-client, work product
11	privileges.
12	MR. HOGELAND: You are
13	instructing him not to answer?
14	MR. FLUMENBAUM: The way the
15	question is worded, yes.
16	Q. Reserving your objections to
17	form, you are instructing him not to answer; is that
18	correct?
19	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Yes, even
20	reserving that because I
21	MR. HOGELAND: That's all I want
22	to know.
23	Q. Mr. Freedman, have you had any
24	communications with any representative of the
25	International Tobacco Wholesale Alliance Limited, USA?
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

E24 13788

- 1	
	Freedman 35
1	A. Would you repeat the question,
2	please?
3	(Whereupon the Court Reporter read the
4	recorá.)
5	A. Not that I can recall.
5	Q. Have you had any communications
7	with any representative of ITWAL?
В	MR. FLUMENBAUM: What's the
•	difference between that question and the one you just
	asked?
L	MR. HOGELAND: Two ways of
2	referring to an entity. I just want to make sure the
3	witness doesn't recall a communication with any
6	representative of ITWAL.
5	MR. FLUMENBAUM: You may answer
5	that question. I thought that's what he asked you
7	already.
8	A. Not that I can recall.
•	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Abbreviation of
)	what Mr. Hogeland stated before.
۱	Q. Mr. Preedman, have you prepared
2	or reviewed any documents or communications dis-
3	seminated by Brown & Williamson to its customers or
. !	any of its customers regarding ITWAL?
4	

B24 13789 a

Ċ,

#### (B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER

	Freedman 40
1	think that calls for attorney-client and work product
2	information.
3	MR. HOGELAND: Are you
4	instructing the witness not answer?
5	MR. FLUMENBAUM: That particular
6	question as worded, yes.
7	MR. HOGELAND: Reserving any
8	objections to the wording of the question, are you
9	instructing the witness not to answer?
10	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I think that
11	question intrudes on the attorney-client and work
12	product relationship, and, therefore, as worded, I'm
13	instructing him not to answer your question.
14	Q. Do you know what ITWAL is, Mr.
15	Freedman?
16	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Mr. Hogeland,
17	Mr. Freedman's knowledge that he gains as a lawyer for
18	Brown & Williamson is (a), irrelevant to this lawsuit
19	and (b), is derived through confidential communications
20	with his clients. He has testified he has not had any
21	communications with ITWAL.
22	MR. HOGELAND: He doesn't
23	recall.
24	MR. PLUMENBAUM: That's right,
25	he doesn't recall no, he said he doesn't recall any
	TANNENDALIK FILCHENDROD C DAMEN

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

!	Freedman 41
1	communications with ITWAL. And, therefore, to the
3 2	extent that he has knowledge of ITWAL, it would have
3	to be through confidential communications, so,
4	therefore, on that assumption or in part derived
5	from confidential communications, so on that
6	assumption I cannot let him answer the question as
7	worded.
8	MR. HOGELAND: You are
9	instructing him not to answer the question?
10	MR. FLUMENBAUM: As to his own
11	personal knowledge. I think it's irrelevant what his
12	personal knowledge is, and I think to the extent that
13	he has any, it's obtained through confidential
14	communications.
15	MR. HOGELAND: We are having
16	discovery of Brown & Williamson through Mr. Preedman.
17	His personal knowledge is not irrelevant.
18	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Well, let me
19	the only reasons you are having personal discovery
20	of Mr discovery of Brown & Williamson through Mr.
21	Freedman is because you noticed Mr. Freedman. Mr.
22	Freedman should not have been noticed; he's an
23	attorney.
24	And, therefore, you can obtain
25	the same information through nonprivileged means, and
İ	TANNENBAUM. KUCHENBROD & PATEN

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

B24 13791 e

	Freedman 42
1	you elected not to do that, and that was your
` 2	decision, it was I believe an inappropriate decision,
3,	and I don't think you should try to imply that Brown a
4	Williamson is foreclosing your discovery. You will
5	have many of the other witnesses at Brown & Williamson
6	that you have noticed, and you can ask the same
7	questions to them. And, therefore, there will be no
8	way that privileged communications could enter into an
9	answer. Kg. Freedman's knowledge is irrelevant.
10	MR. HOGELAND: Mr. Freedman's
11	knowledge is not irrelevant. I will not agree with
12	that, and I will press for an answer to that question.
13	Mr. Freedman is here pursuant to notice.
14	MR. FLUMENBAUM: And I've
15	instructed
16	MR. GHOGELAND: He is informed
17	and as articulate and as intelligent a witness as
18	Brown & Williamson could possibly ever produce, and we
19	are going to press for answers.
20	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Fine, you can
21	press, but he's an attorney, and, therefore, the
22	privilege applies.
23	MR. HOGELAND: Of course, there
24	are privileges that apply. Not to this question. His
25	knowledge is not immunized from discovery.

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

	Freedman 43
1	MR. FLUMENBAUM: His knowleage
, 2	to the extent that it's based on information that he
3	derives from attorney-client communications is
4	covered.
5	MR. HOGELAND: I'm not going to
6	argue the privilege law.
7	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Fine.
8	Q. Did you have any communications
9	regarding ITWAL with anyone outside Brown &
10	Williamson?
11	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Are you
12	excluding possibly attorneys for Brown & Williamson?
13	Q. Excluding attorneys for Brown &
14	& Williamson.
15	A. Not to my knowledge.
16	Q. Do you sometimes communicate
17	with customers of Brown & Williamson?
18	MR. FLUMENBAUM: About ITWAL?
19	Q. About anything.
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. You have no recollection of any
22	communication with a customer of Brown & Williamson
23	concerning ITWAL?
24	A. I have no recollection of
25	discussing ITWAL with anybody outside of Brown &
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984
	B24 £3793

	Preedman 64
1	Williamson.
<sub>6</sub> 2	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Or its
3	attorneys?
4	A. Or its attorneys.
5	MR. HOGELAND: Let's take a
6	Obreak.
7	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Just so the
8	record is clear, Mr. Freedman will be here till noon.
9	You can take
10	MR. HOGELAND: Obviously we are
11	not going to get any discovery from him, anyway.
12	MR. FLUMENBAUM: You can take
13	whatever breaks you like, but Mr. Freedman
14	MR. HOGELAND: We will spend all
15	day listening to your speeches, anyway, so it doesn't
16	matter. Don't have many answers.
17	MR. FLUMENBAUM: How long a
18	break would you like, Mr. Hogeland?
19	MR. HOGELAND: Ten minutes.
20	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Fine.
21	(A short recess was taken.)
22	Q. Mr. Preedman, I'm showing you
23	what has been previously marked as Stephens
24	Exhibit 3 wait a minute, wrong one. Where did it
25	go? I'm sorry, Stephens Exhibit 8. To save you time,
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202

(502) 587-1984

	rreeuman 45
1	pages within that exhibit identified as B&W Bate 12352
<sub>2</sub> ) 2	and 12353 are the only pages.
3	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Would you
4	repeat that again, please? I'm sorry.
5	MR. HOGELAND: Yes, 12352 and
6	12353 are the only pages that I would ask Mr. Freedman
7	to look at. He's free to look at all of it,
8	obviously.
9	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Okay. Take a
10	look at these two pages.
11	Do you have another copy so that
12	I can read it?
13	MR. HOGELAND: Yes.
14	Q. Mr. Freedman, calling your
15	attention to Bate 12352 and 12353, which are a part of
16	Stephens Exhibit 8, have you seen that memorandum
17	represented by those two pages before?
18	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm going to
19	object. I don't think you are entitled to ask an
20	attorney what documents he's seen in connection with
21	his performance of legal duties because then it's
22	in his performance of his legal duties.
23	MR. HOGELAND: Are you in-
24	structing him not to answer?
25	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Yes, because I
1	

		Freedman	46
	1	think	
1	-A 2		MR. HOGELAND: All right, you
	3	are instructing him n	ot to answer, you don't need to
	$\vec{4}$	go further.	
	5		MR. FLUMENBAUM: All right,
	6	fine.	
	7	Q.	Calling your attention to
	8	document 12352 or Bat	e 12352 which is part of Stephons
	9	Exhibit 8, do you rec	ognize the handwriting in the
	10	upper right hand corn	er?
	11	Α.	Yes, I do.
	12	Q.	Whose is it?
	. 13	<b>A.</b> 7	It's Larry Amos'.
4	14	Q.	And do you recognize the stamp
1	15	in the upper near	the upper right hand corner?
	16	Α.	If you tell me what the stamp
	17	says before I answer	yes or no, so there is no
	18	confusion.	
	19	Q.	The thing that says the
	20	writing on there that	says received September 10,
	21	1984, BHF, do you rec	ognize that?
	22	Α.	Yes, I do.
	23	Q.	What does that mean?
	24	A.	It means that my secretary
	<b>2</b> 5	received the document	from my in-box and stamped it
, ,		Louisvill	, KUCHENBROD & PATEN e, Kentucky 40202 02) 587-1984

•

	Freedman
1	such on September 10th.
<sup>3</sup> 2	Q. What happens to the documents
3,	that go into your in-box?
4	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Ordinarily or
5	not in connection with this particular document?
6	Q. What typically happens to
7	documents that leave your in-box?
8	್ MR. FLUMENBAUM: You can answer
9	that if there is a typical pattern.
10	A. They sit on my desk until I
11	either read them, toss them, file them, miss them.
12	Q. If you read it, do you have a
13	standard way of indicating that you have read it,
14	typically?
15	A. No. Let me correct that answer.
16	I do something that is not for the purpose of
17	indicating I read it, but I do it all the time to
18	indicate, and it would indicate that I read it, and
19	that is I give my secretary filing instructions on the
20	page.
21	Q. What if filing instructions were
22	already there?
23	A. I give her my own.
24	Q. You and Mr. Amos have separate
25	filing systems?
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984  B 24 13797
	0 ~ ± ± 2 7 7 7 7

	rieeman 55
ı	A. In many sections we do. Even in
2	we share a file, both of our notations will be in
3,	there, and you'll find duplicate copies of the same
4	document.
5	Q. Well, does the handwriting in
6	the upper right hand corner of Bate 12352 indicate
7	that the same document was to go first to BHF and then
8	to a file?
9	A. That's what that would indicate.
10	If this were a copy that I would typically see, you'c
11	see a slash from me indicating that I've now seen it,
12	pass it on to the file, do whatever.
13	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Do you have any
14	more questions?
15	MR. HOGELAND: Yes, of course.
16	I don't want to waste time asking questions that you
17	are going to instruct him not to answer; questions
18	that he should answer.
19	MR. HOGELAND: Would you mark
20	that as Freedman Exhibit whatever it is, 4?
21	(The document entitled Heartland Agreement
22	was marked Freedman Deposition Exhibit 4,
23	for identification, a copy of which is
24	attached hereto and made a part hereof.)
25	Q. Mr. Freedman, I'm going to show
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202

	Freeman 49
1	you what has been marked as Freedman Exhibit 4 which
2	is identified as Heartland Agreement number 924, bears
_	a B&W Bate number, the last three digits of which are
3	543.
4	MR. PLUMENBAUM: You can't make
5	
6	it out?
7	Q. I ask you, Mr. Freedman, what is
8	that document?
9	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Why are you
10	asking Mr. Freedman that? The document speaks for
11	itself.
12	MR. HOGELAND: I want to know
13	his answer.
14	MR. FLUMENBAUM: The document
15	speaks for itself.
16	MR. HOGELAND: Documents don't
17	speak for themselves.
18	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Of course they
19	do.
20	MR. HOGELAND: This is
21	discovery. I want the witness to tell me what it is.
22	Simple question.
23	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Wait a second.
24	It is an agreement.
25	MR. HOGELAND: I'm not asking
	⇒ .
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202
	(502) 587-1984
	R94 49~00

B24 13799 8

η6\$

	Preedman 50
1	you to testify, I'm asking this witness to testify.
2	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Well, I'm
35	MR. HOGELAND: I don't want your
4	answers.
5	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm going to
6	limit him his testimony as to what the
7	MR. HOGELAND: You are trying
8	to limit what Liggett is entitled to. I understand
9	that. I'mpasking this witness a question. It's a
10	very simple question.
11	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I'm going to
12	let you answer that question. I want you to limit
13	your answer to the agreement itself and not
14	characterize it or discuss anything outside the
15	document itself.
16	A. It's a document that is titled
17	Heartland Agreement. You don't want me to read it, do
18	you?
19	Q. Of course not. I'm asking you a
20	simple question, what is it.
21	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Who are the
22	parties?
23	A. It appears to be signed by L. W.
24	Butler and on behalf of a distributor named
25	Independent Grocers. Inc.

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

+25

;	Preedman 51
1	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Does it have a
2	date?
3	A. It has an effective date of June
4	26, 1984.
5	Q. In the upper right hand corner
6	it is identified as Plan B; is that correct?
7	A. The document says Plan B.
8	Q. What is Plan B?
9	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Objection.
10	Instruct him not to
11	MR. HOGELAND: Are you
12	instructing nim not to answer?
13	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Of course. If
14	you want to ask him if he had any communications with
15	Independent Grocers about this document
16	MR. HOGELAND: I don't intend to
17	ask him that. I've asked him the questions I've asked
18	him, and you've instructed him not to answer.
19	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Okay. I just
20	want the record to be clear that I'm not going to let
21	you ask him questions that relate to internal
22	communications with Brown & Williamson. If you want
23	to ask him about this agreements with any third party
24	outside Brown & Williamson
25	MR. HOGELAND: I've asked him

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

		Freedman 52
	1	what Plan B was. You instructed him not to answer.
_	2	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Because that
	<i>₹</i> 3	would entail communications that he may have received
	4	through Brown & Williamson.
	5	O. Do you have any knowledge of any
	6	communications by Brown & Williamson personnel to
	7	customers of Brown & Williamson concerning Plan B?
	8	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to
	9	the form of that.
	10	ER. HOGELAND: That's all right.
	11	He can answer it.
	12	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Can you answer
	13	it yes or no?
ا_	14	A. I guess I better hear the
	15	question.
	16	(Whereupon the Court Reporter read the
	17	record.)
	18	MR. FLUMENBAUM: To the extent
	19	that he received any of those any of that knowledge
	20	through communications with Brown & Williamson, then
	21	you are asking for attorney-client and work product.
	22	MR. HOGELAND: I disagree with
	23	you. Are you instructing him not to answer?
	24	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I'll let him
	25	answer to the extent whether he was whether he has

**.**ф,

	Preedman 53
1	knowledge of communications with third parties that
2	were made relating to this which were made in his
3~	presence.
4	MR. HOGELAND: You will what?
5	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I will allow
6	him to answer a question that's limited to whether he
7	was present or heard discussions to a third party such
8	as Independent Grocers, about Plan B. But I will not
9	let you ask him about any internal discussions about
10	Plan B. You'll be able to ask the appropriate Brown &
11	Williamson
12	MR. HOGELAND: There is a
13	pending question. Would you read the question,
14	please?
15	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm not going
16	to let him answer that question because I think
17	MR. HOGELAND: Are you going to
18	instruct him not to answer the pending question? I'm
19	going to go for an answer.
20	MR. PLUMENBAUM: As worded. As
21	worded.
22	MR. HOGELAND: Will you mark
23	this, please, as Exhibit 5?
24	(The Field Sales Notice was marked
25	Preedman Deposition Exhibit 5, for

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

	rreecman 54
1	identification, copies of which are attached
2	hereto and made a part hereof.)
,a <b>3</b>	Q. Mr. Preedman, I show you a
4	document that is marked Freedman Exhibit 5 bearing B $\epsilon \mathrm{P}^{-1}$
5	Bate 528 through 553, and I ask you, calling your
6	attention only to the first page, whether you have
7	seen it before?
8	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Has he seen the
9	first page before?
10	NR. HOGELAND: Yes.
11	A. I have seen 0528 before.
12	Q. What is it?
13	A. It appears to be a field sales
14	notice issued by B&W to its field managers except for
15	special markets. It talks about Heartland Agree-
16	ments.
17	Q. I call your attention to the
18	last paragraph of the first page of Freedman Exhibit 5
19	in which field managers are invited to ask to
20	consult with you if they have any questions. Is that
21	an invitation for the field managers to ask your legal
22	advice?
23	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to
24	form, but
25	A. They are being told to call me
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

http://legacy.library.ucsf.edu/tid/dhr0pa00/pdf/.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/kmxl0001

•

	Freedman 55
1	for legal advice. They all know I'm a lawyer.
2	Q. Were they told to call Mr.
3	Christensen or you if they had any questions?
4	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection. The
5	documents speaks for itself.
6	HR. HOGELAND: This is
7	discovery.
8	MR. FLUMENBAUM: You are mis-
9	characterizing the document in your question. I'm
10	not
11	Q. Would you read the last
12	paragraph into the record, please, Mr. Freedman?
13	A. "If you have already completed
14	any contracts"
15	Q. I'm sorry, go ahead.
16	A "contact Don Christensen or
17	Bart Freedman for instructions.
18	Q. All right. And you are telling
19	me that that is to invite them to consult you for
20	legal advice?
21	MR. FLUMENBAUM: He's already
22	answered that. He would just be repeating his answer.
23	MR. HOGELAND: He can answer it
24	again.
25	MR. PLUMENBAUM: No, he can't.

	Preedman 56
1	MR. HOGELAND: Yes, he can.
2	MR. FLUMENBAUM: No. He
Э.	shouldn't.
4	MR. HOGELAND: I want it
5	ânswered.
6	MR. FLUMENBAUM: He just
7	answered. It's been asked and answered.
8	MR. HOGELAND: I'm asking it
9	again. o
10	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Why?
11	MR. HOGELAND: Because I want
12	his answer again. It's my discovery. I want his
13	answer.
14	MR. PLUMENBAUM: You are not
15	going to ask him the same question twice.
16	MR. HOGELAND: Yes, I am.
17	Q. Will you answer the question,
18	please?
19	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Don't answer
20	the question.
21	Q. Let me have the exhibit.
22	MR. PLUMENBAUM: He's answered
23	it.
24	Q. Mr. Freedman, do you know what
25	Plan A and Plan B are or were as referred to in
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202

B24 13806 &

tizy.

	Freedman 57
1	Freedman Exhibit 5?
2	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm going to
3	let you answer the question just either yes or no
4	whether you know what this refers to, but again it's
5	Owithout waiver of any attempt to elicit any sub-
6	stantive information about those plans.
7	At the present time I don't
8	remember, to be very honest with you.
9	<sup>9</sup> Q. Did you in or about the 6th of
10	June, 1984 know the difference?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Do you know today the difference
13	between Plan 1 and Plan 2?
14	A. I have the same problem, I don't
15	remember.
16	Q. Did you know the difference or.
17	or about the 6th of June, 1984?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Do you know why the change was
20	made from Plans A and B to Plans 1 and 2?
21	MR. HOGELAND: Or I may have
22	that backwards.
23	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I'm going to
24	instruct him not to answer.
25	MR. HOGELAND: On the grounds of

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

B24 13807 °

	Freedman 58
1	privilege?
2	MR. FLUMENBAUM: And I'm also
3	objecting to the form of the question.
4	MR. HOGELAND: The form of the
5	question is bad.
6	Q. Was there a change made from the
7	uses of Plans A and B to the use of Plans 1 and 2?
8	MR. PLUMENBAUM: The document
9	that you've marked
10	MR. HOGELAND: I'm asking the
11	witness a question.
12	MR. FLUMENBAUM: The only way he
13	knows is because of his rendering of legal advice to
14	his clients.
15	MR. HOGELAND: You are saying
16	that. He can say that if that's
17	MR. PLUMENBAUM: He's testified
18	the only record that you have here is that he is a
19	lawyer and he's consulted for legal advice, that's the
20	record that we have here, and I don't see any reason
21	for you to try to get substantive testimony from him.
22	It's improper, you are going to have witnesses.
23	MR. HOGBLAND: I asked him if he
24	knows. He can answer that yes or no.
25	MR. PLUMBNBAUM: Well

B24 13808 6

		Preedman 59
	1	KR. HOGELAND: You've instructed
	·* 2	him not to answer the question that is yes or no, do
	چ ِ	you know. If the answer is I don't know, there is no
	4	point in my pressing for answers, is there? It's a
	5	operfectly proper question.
	6	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'll let you do
	7	it this time, but I don't think it's proper.
	8	MR. HOGELAND: Thank you. It's
	9	clearly proper.
	10	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I don't think
	11	so.
	12	THE WITNESS: Please reread the
ı	13	question.
#   	14	MR. HOGELAND: If you can find
	15	it.
	16	(Whereupon the Court Reporter read the
	17	record.)
	18	MR. HOGELAND: Let me rephrase
	19	the question.
	20	Q. Do you know if in June of 1984
	21	or thereabouts, thenabouts, a change was made from the
	22	uses of Plans A and B to the use of Plans 1 and 2?
	23	A. I have no recollection of what
	24	the heck was going on with these plans at the time for
	25	the letter changes. I have no present memory of it.
<b>₹</b>		TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984
		B24 13809

	Preedman 60
ı	Q. And nothing on the first page or
2	Preedman Exhibit 5 refreshes your recollection; is
33	that correct?
4	A. It refreshes my recollection
5	that people were fussing around with plan names at the
6	time. I don't recall the substance.
7	O Q. But you do recall with your
8	recollection thus refreshed that a change was made?
9	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I think he's
10	answered your question. The document speaks for
11	itself.
12	MR. HOGELAND: His recollection
13	is not on the document.
14	MR. PLUMENBAUM: He just told
15	you what his recollection was and how it was refreshed
16	by your document.
17	MR. HOGELAND: I want to know.
18	MR. FLUMENBAUM: What you are
19	trying to do is summarize his testimony.
20	MR. HOGELAND: I'm not trying to
21	summarize his testimony, I'm trying to get some
22	testimony from this witness.
23	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I think the
24	witness is answering all proper questions.
25	MR. HOGELAND: Are you
	TANNENBAUH, KUCHENBROD & PATEN

	Freedman 61
1	instructing him not to answer?
2	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I think it's
<sup>23</sup> 3	asked and answered.
4	MR. HOGELAND: Are you
5	instructing him not to answer?
6	MR. FLUMENBAUM: No, he can
7	answer the question.
8	MR. HOGELAND: Thank you. Read
9	the question. It's your record.
10	(Whereupon the Court Reporter read the
11	record.)
12	A. I recall a lot of scurrying
13	around changing titles of plans about a year ago. I
14	have no recollection as to what the substance was, if
15	any, of those changes.
16	Q. Did you at the time know what
17	the substance of those changes was?
18	MR. FLUMENBAUM: If any. I
19	think that it's an improper question as to form. He
20	says he doesn't recall now whether there were any
21	changes except as to the names, so to ask him whether
22	at the time he knew doesn't really make any sense.
23	Q. Were you involved in the
24	scurrying around that was going on at that time,
25	changing titles?

·3

	Freedman 62
1	A. My legal advice would certainly
2	have been sought upon the nature of any changes that
33,	they were proposing.
4	Q. And therefore you would have
5	Deen knowleageable about the substance of any such
6	changes at that time.
7	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Objection as to
8	form. That's not his testimony.
9	MR. HOGELAND: I know what his
16	testimony is. I'm asking a conclusion.
11	MR. PLUMENBAUM: You asked a
12	tnerefore question.
13	MR. HOGELAND: Yes.
14	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Objectionable
15	as to form.
16	C. You may answer.
17	MR. PLUMENBAUM: If you can.
18	A. If I reviewed the changes at the
19	time, I simply would have been knowledgeable of them
20	before I would have cleared it.
21	Q. You testified that your legal
22	advice was sought with respect to the substance of any
23	changes that were being made. If there were any
24	substantive changes made, you would have been
25	knowledgeable as to them; is that correct?
	TANNENBAILM FILCH FURDOD & DAMEN

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

B24 13812 \*

Freedman

75

1	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I think he's
2	answered this question several times now, and you are
33	just trying to rephrase it differently each time.
4	He's given you his best understanding, and I think the
5	record is clear
6	MR. HOGELAND: Are you
7	instructing him not to answer?
8	MR. PLUMENBAUM: That last
9	question, yes, it's objectionable as to form, also.
10	MR. HOGELAND: You are
11	instructing him not to answer it?
12	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Yes.
13	MR. HOGELAND: On what grounds?
14	MR. FLUMENBAUM: On the grounds
15	of form, on the grounds that it's been asked and
16	answered, on the grounds that he's given you his
17	answer to that question, and I think we've had enough
18	on that one question.
19	MR. HOGELAND: And therefore on
20	those grounds you are instructing him not to answer?
21	MR. PLUMENBAUM: That question,
22	yes, he's answered it several times.
23	Q. Hr. Preedman, are you aware of
24	Brown & Williamson's direct account incentive program?

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

MR. FLUMENBAUM:

824 13813 e

I'll let you

63

	Freedman 64
1	answer yes or no.
2	A. Yes.
3:	Q. Have you had any communications
4	with anyone outside Brown & Williamson excluding
5	outside attorneys concerning Brown & Williamson's
6	direct account incentive program?
7	A. Not that I can remember.
8	Q. In the discharge of your duties
9	at Brown & Williamson, would it be typical for you to
10	have communications with customers of Brown &
11	Williamson regarding Brown & Williamson's direct
12	account incentive program?
13	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to
14	form.
15	A. No, it would not be typical for
16	me to have communications with customers about that
17	program.
18	Q. You testified that you do from
19	time to time have communications with customers of
20	Brown & Williamson; is that correct?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Is that a correct statement of
23	your prior testimony?
24	λ. Yes.
25	Q. Can you tell me what the subject
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

B24 13814 d

	Preedman 65
1	matters of those communications are?
2	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Let me talk to
-3	him outside, consult with my client.
4	Let me hear the last question
5	again before we go out.
6	(Whereupon the Court Reporter read the
7	record.)
8	(Whereupon the deponent and his counsel left
9	the room. >
10	MR. FLUMENBAUM: You want to
11	A. I'd like to hear the last
12	question.
13	(Whereupon the Court Reporter read the
14	record.)
15	A. The vast majority of my
16	communications with customers are in a social setting.
17	I represent the company at various customer trade
18	association conventions, and the subject matter of
19	those conventions when I would be talking with
20	customers is strictly social. It's almost an
21	unwritten rule we don't talk business at an operation
22	like that. Play golf, go sailing, eat too much.
23	Q. Do you have communications with
24	customers or potential customers of Brown & Williamson
25	either in the presence of other Brown & Williamson
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN  Louisville, Kentucky 40202  (502) 587-1984
	B24 13815 <

	Freedman 66
, a 1	representatives or not in their presence on business
2	matters?
3	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Do you want to
4	narrow it down to some specific matters that are
5	relevant to this litigation?
6	MR. HOGELAND: I want to ask him
7	if he has any, and then we'll find out.
8	A. Rarely.
9	Q. Rarely. Do the customers of
10	Brown & Williamson ever call you with questions about
11	any Brown & Williamson business matter?
12	A. Very, very rarely.
13	Q. Occasionally it's happened?
14	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Very, very
15	rarely.
16	A. Not even occasionally. It's
17	very, very rarely.
18	Q. Are you familiar with Brown &
19	Williamson's credit terms for its generic cigarettes?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Have you ever had or partici-
22	pated in any communication with any customer or
23	potential customer of Brown & Williamson with respect
24	to credit terms?
25	A. I better ask you what you mean
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984  824 13816

	Preedman 67
(1	by "credit terms" before I answer that.
2	Q. Well, the terms on which
3∽̂	payments are due.
4	A. I don't remember.
5	Q. What are Brown & Williamson's
6	credit terms for generic cigarettes?
7	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I'm going to
8	instruct him not to answer.
9	MR. HOGELAND: On the grounds of
10	privilege?
11	MR. FLUMENBAUM: And work
12	product.
13	Q. Are you familiar with Brown &
14	Williamson's private label generic program?
15	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to
16	form. Answer the question as it's worded.
17	A. You are using the phrase that I
18	never use. I am familiar with Brown & Williamson's
19	private label program, and I am familiar with Brown &
20	Williamson's generic program.
21	Q. Thank you. Have you ever had or
22	participated in any communication with any customer or
23	potential customer of Brown & Williamson with respect
24	to Brown & Williamson's private label program?
25	A. May I hear the question back,

B24 13817

 $\zeta^{\mathfrak{T}}$ 

	Preedman 68
1	please?
ه 2	(Whereupon the Court Reporter read the
3,7	record.)
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. What customer or customers or
6	potential customers?
7	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I think you may
8	be getting into an area which is in connection with
9	ongoing negotiations which is highly proprietary and
10	trade secret, and I would let him answer any questions
11	that you have with respect to any completed contract
12	or negotiations, but I will not let him on the grounds
13	of proprietary information and trade secret information
14	let you know who Brown & Williamson has been in the
15	process of negotiating with today.
16	MR. ĤOGELAND: I want to know
17	the participations that he has had with third parties.
18	This deposition, you have already stated, is
19	confidential.
20	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Yes.
21	MR. HOGELAND: And there can be
22	no possible prejudice to Brown & Williamson.
23	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Yes, there can
24	be. Your clients are engaged in a great deal of anti-
25	competitive contact against Brown & Williamson, and
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN

*~*3

your lawsuit.

Freedman	50

this	iní	orma	tion	18	very	sen	sitive,	ano	I'm	not	going
to h	ave	Mr.	Free	imar	disc	cuss	ongoing	nec	otia	ation	ns.

specific contracts and to any specific completed negotiation that he took part in, I have no objection to do that. I will have no objection to him discussing that. But I'm not going to let you use this deposition to find out who Brown & Williamson is negotiating with at this time. It's not relevant to

MR. HOGELAND: No. It may be your position, but Brown & Williamson's private laber program is relevant to the issues and questions on which we are now having discovery. And this witness's knowledge of that program is something that Liggett is entitled to discovery of

MR. FLUMENBAUM: No, you are not entitled to his knowledge of the program. The most you are entitled to are his knowledge of communications that are made to third parties in his presence in a situation where he's not giving legal advice to such third parties. And that's the most you are entitled to.

And as I said, I'll let you ask questions relating to such communications if it

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

B24 13819

http://legacy.library.ucsf.edu/tid/ahropa00/pdf.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/kmxl0001

CONFIDENTIAL MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION

rolves negotiations that have concluded, but I'm noting to have -- I'm going to instruct him not to swer any questions on negotiations that are ongoing lay or that are not yet completed.

Q. Mr. Freedman, the communitions with customers or potential customers of Brown filliamson private label cigarettes, which you had in which you participated, when did they take

MR. HOGELAND: I didn't ask who

was with.

MR. FLUMENBAUM: Well, I'm going let him answer. Again, I have an objection to this se of questioning. I don't think it's relevant to lawsuit.

I'll let you answer if you can can tall when. Just give him dates.

A. I recall one in May of 1984 -rection, June of 1984. And I recall one in
ruary of 1985.

Q. Those are the only two that you :all; is that correct?

A. Let me hear the question once e to make sure I answered completely my collection.

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

B24 13820 a

nications.

Let's hear the

r read the

any others.

cation that you

potential

liamson's private

ey were all

r that you recall
1984 now a
ate label

th whom you had that a customer cigarettes? is not. no communi-

Other than the

ATEN 02

CONFIDENTIAL MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION

rolves negotiations that have concluded, but I'm noting to have -- I'm going to instruct him not to swer any questions on negotiations that are ongoing any or that are not yet completed.

Q. Mr. Freedman, the communitions with customers or potential customers of Brown filliamson private label cigarettes, which you had in which you participated, when did they take

MR. HOGELAND: I didn't ask who

was with.

MR. FLUMENBAUM: Well, I'm going let him answer. Again, I have an objection to this me of questioning. I don't think it's relevant to lawsuit.

I'll let you answer if you can can tall when. Just give him dates.

A. I recall one in May of 1984 -rection, June of 1984. And I recall one in
ruary of 1985.

Q. Those are the only two that you :all; is that correct?

A. Let me hear the question once e to make sure I answered completely my collection.

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

824 13820

nications.

Let's hear the

r read the

any others.

cation that you

potential

liamson's private

ey were all

r that you recall
1984 now a
ate label

th whom you had
that a customer
cigarettes?
is not.
no communiliamson's private

Other than the

ATEN

-93

-	Freedman 72
1	ones he's given you?
2	MR. HOGELAND: Customers.
.3	A. I cannot recall any
4	communications that I've had with a customer of Brown
5	& Williamson concerning private label cigarettes.
6	Q. Now going back to the June 1984
7	communication, did anyone representing Brown &
8	Williamson, in addition to yourself, participate in
9	that communication or those communications?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Who?
12	A. Donald Bores, B-o-r-e-s, Lenny
13	Butler, Steve Enderle, E-n-d-e-r-l-e, and I was the
14	fourth.
15	Q. What is Mr. Bores' position?
16	A. I can't give you his specific
17	title. I don't know his specific title. He's in the
18	sales department.
19	Q. What about Mr. Enderle, do you
20	know his position?
21	A. He is in the field sales force.
22	I don't know his position.
23	Q. Where did this conversation take
24	place?
25	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I think now you
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

## (B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER

	Preedman 73
1	are asking for who the customer was essentially it you
2	ask where it took place. I don't think that's
3⊳	relevant.
4	MR. HOGELAND: Are you going to
5	instruct him not to answer?
6	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Well, I don't
7	think that I think you are in an area that is not
8	part of the discovery of this case at the moment, and
9	I don't want you necessarily
10	MR. HOGELAND: Are you in-
11	structing him not to answer the question?
12	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I just want to
13	explain my reason.
14	MR. HOGELAND: Are you or aren't
15	you?
16	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Yes, I'm going
17	to instruct him not to answer. Also on the ground
18	that I think it's not relevant, and I think you are
19	asking to see which customers we were negotiating
20	with.
21	MR. HOGELAND: I'm certainly not
22	asking for that purpose.
23	MR. PLUMENBAUM: What purpose
24	are you asking for?
25	MR. HOGELAND: For discovery in

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

824 13823 c

	Freedman 74
1	tnis lawsuit.
2	MR. FLUMENBAUM: How is it
,3	relevant to discovery in this lawsuit?
4	MR. HOGELAND: I'm not going to
5	Cargue the law with you. I'm going to tell you that
6	you have interposed a defense on which we are now
7	having first phase of discovery, and Brown &
8	Williamson's offers on private label cigarettes are
9	directly gelevant to that to disproving that
10	defense. This is relevant and it's ongoing, and I'm
11	going to press for answers.
12	Q. At the June 1984 communication
13	at which you, Mr. Bores, Mr. Butler, Mr. Enderle were
14	present, was more than one representative of the
15	potential customer present?
16	A. There were several people
17	present who I did not know.
18	Q. Was that a face-to-face meeting?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Did it take place in Brown &
21	Williamson's offices?
22	A. No, it did not.
23	Q. Did it take place at the
24	premises of a potential customer of Brown &
25	Williamson?

B24 13824 a

http://legacy.library.ucsf.edu/trd/ahr07a00/pdf.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/kmxl0001

rs)

## Freedman 75 1 That was my understanding. Α. 2 MR. HOGELAND: I press for an answer as to where it took place. I press for an 3 answer as to who the potential customer was. 5 Were any documents or written 0. 6 material given to representatives of a potential 7 customer at this discussion? 8 MR. PLUMENBAUM: If you recall. 9 I don't remember. ್ಷ ನಿ. 10 Do you remember any of the discussion? 11 12 A. Yes. 13 Tell me what you recall of the 14 discussion. 15 MR. FLUMENBAUM: What is the 16 purpose of this? 17 MR. HOGELAND: The purpose of 18

MR. HOGELAND: The purpose of this is discovery. I want to know what offers may have been made; therefore, I want to know what the discussion was. And I have an articulate, intelligent witness who was present, who is now being examined, and I'm entitled to an answer.

MR. FLUMENBAUM: Let me consult

24 with the witness.

19

20

21

22

23

25

(The deponent and his counsel left the room.)

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

٠,5

Freedman	<b>7</b> 6
----------	------------

1	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Without waiving
2	our relevance objection to this discussion, and
3	without waiving our ability to object to any further
4	questions of this witness and other witnesses about
5	the private label communications, I will allow Mr.
6	Freedman to answer questions concerning the June '84
7	meeting that you have asked him about in connection
8	with any discussions that he heard or what he observed
9	in the presence of third parties.
10	MR. HOGELAND: Would you read
11	the pending question, please?
12	(Whereupon the Court Reporter read the
13	record.)
14	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Why don't we go
15	back to where it took place and the name of the
16	customer? I also want topagain we consider this
17	highly proprietary information, and I'm going to take
18	a different position with respect to the February '85,
19	which negotiations may still be ongoing. I just want
20	you to know that, and my letting him talk about the
21	June '84 will not govern the Pebruary '85. Go ahead.
22	Q. Who was the customer with whom
23	you had the discussions in June '84, the potential
24	customer?
25	MR. PLUMENBAUM: He didn't say

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

MR. PLUMENBAUM:

B24 13826

	rreecman 77
1	that he had the discussions; he attended a meeting
2	which the other customers
3.	Q. Who was the customer, Mr.
4	Freedman?
5	C A. Kroger.
6	Q. Where did the discussions take
7	place?
8	A. In an office in what I
9	understood, to be their headquarters in Cincinnati.
10	Q. And Mr. Enderle is stationed in
11	Cincinnati, is he not?
12	A. I don't believe he is stationed
13	at Cincinnati.
14	Q. the is responsible for the
15	territory and based in Cincinnati?
16	A. He was at that time.
17	Q. Who besides yourself rep-
18	resenting Brown & Williamson was at the February 1985
19	discussion concerning Brown & Williamson 's private
20	label cigarettes?
21	A. Thomas Sandefur, S-a-n-d-e-f-u-
22	r, Jr., Richard Blott, B-l-o-t-t, Don Christensen,
23	Frank S-o-n-e-g-o, Charles Tyler, me. I think that
24	list is complete, to the best of my recollection.
25	Q. And who was the potential?
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984
	<b>B24 13827</b>

•	
	Preedman 78
1	customer?
2	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm not going
<i>-</i> 3	to allow him to answer that on proprietary
4	information.
5	Q. Was that a face-to-face meeting?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Did it take place in Brown &
8	Williamson's offices?
9	∍A. No.
10	Q. Where did it take place?
11	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Instruct him
12	not to answer on grounds you'll be able to identity
13	the customer, and I think that's highly sensitive
14	information. I would represent that there has been no
15	agreement reached with that customer.
16	MR. HOGELAND: There's testimony
17	already to that effect.
18	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I just want the
19	record to be clear.
20	Q. Did that discussion take place
21	at the premises of the potential customer?
22	A. That was the understanding that
23	I had.
24	Q. Who is Mr. Sonego?
25	A. He's a member of our field sales
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984  B 24 13828

A

	Freedman 79
1	force.
2	Q. What is his territory?
3.	MR. FLUMENBAUM: If you know.
4	A. It certainly includes the
5	Chicago metropolitan area.
6	Q. Who is Mr. Tyler?
7	A. He is also a member of the field
8	sales force.
9	Q. What is his territory?
10	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Very clever way
11	of finding out?
12	A. I have the same problem.
13	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm going to
14	instruct him
15	MR. HOGELAND: We have that. We
16	know it, so what difference does it make.
17	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I probably
18	shouldn't have allowed him to
19	MR. HOGELAND: I know who it is,
20	anyway, so it doesn't matter.
21	MR. PLUMENBAUM: So let's just
22	move on, then.
23	Q. Let's go back to June '84. At
24	what price or prices did Brown & Williamson offer to
25	manufacture private label cigarettes for Kroger?
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

B24 13829 d

Preedman

£\$7.

1	A. I don't remember that anymore.
2	Q. At what price or prices did
<b>3</b> >>	Brown & Williamson offer to manufacture private label
4	cigarettes for the potential customer with whom you
5	met in February 1985?
6	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm not going
7	to allow him to answer that, but I'll tell you on the
8	record that Mr. Freedman does not have any
9	recollection of the terms.
10	MR. HOGELAND: I'd just as soon
11	have his recollection as yours.
12	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I told you I
13	was not going to allow him to discuss
14	MR. HOGELAND: I'm pressing for
15	an answer. Your statement that he has no recollection
16	is absolutely meaningless, and his recollection is
17	quite capable of possibly being refreshed if you would
18	allow me to pursue Liggett's right to discovery.
19	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I was trying to
20	help you in this deposition. I seedthat's not a
21	MR. HOGELAND: It's not very
22	helpful for you to testify. The fact that you tell me
23	he has no present recollection doesn't mean that his
24	recollection couldn't readily be refreshed if you
25	would allow him to testify and give

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

B24 13830<sub>,</sub>

	Preedman 81
1	MR. FLUMENBAUM: 1'm not
2	allowing him to testify on these negotiations.
,>3	Q. Mr. Preedman, have you had any
4	communications with anyone connected with the firm of
5	Hicks, Weston & Spender?
6	MR. PLUMENBAUM: To your
7	knowledge.
8	A. Not to my knowledge. I don't
9	know that, I've ever heard that name.
10	Q. Have you had any communications
11	with L. James Hicks?
12	A. Not to my knowledge.
13	Q. Have you ever heard that name?
14	A. · I believe so. If you ask me
15	some more questions, perhaps I can be definite.
16	Q. Would it refresh your
17	recollection if I told you that L. James Hicks has
18	been counsel to ITWAL?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Does that refresh your
21	recollection that you may have had communications with
22	him?
23	MR. FLUMENBAUM: That's not what
24	he said.
25	MR. HOGELAND: I'm asking him if
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

B24 13831 8

http://legacy.library.ucsf.edu/tid/elhr07a00/pdf/.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/kmxl0001

	Freedman	82.
1	that refreshed his recolled	tion.
2	A. I hav	e never, to the best of my
<b>43</b>	knowledge, ever communicate	d with Mr. Hicks. We are
4	talking about whether I hav	e ever heard of him.
5	C. Yes,	I understand that. Now in
6	Pebruary of 1984 did you at	tend a meeting at which
7	representatives of Design W	orks Inc. was present?
8	A. Yes,	I did.
9	⊙Q. Do yo	u recall when that was?
10	MR. F	LUMENBAUM: You mean a more
11	precise date?	
12	MR. H	OGELAND: Uh-huh.
13	A. I rec	all it being the last week
14	in February on a Thur'sday a	fternoon if you'd like to
15	be precise.	
16	C. Prett	y good.
17	MR. E	OGELAND: I don't know that
18	2-24 was a Thursday.	
19	Q. I sho	w you what has been marked
20	in a third party deposition	as Hunter Deposition
21	•	13
22	witness as Beasley Exhibit	3, which will give you the
23	date, it won't tell you the	day of the week.
24	Does	that document refresh your
25	recollection that you atter	ded a meeting on Pebruary

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

	Preedman 83
1	24 in 1964?
2	MR. FLUMENBAUM: His
.3	recollection was it was the last week of Pebruary, and
4	I think that supports that. I mean I don't think that
5	this document could refresh his actual recollection.
6	Q. The entry on Hunter Exhibit 17
7	dated 2-24-84, is that the meeting that you referred
8	to?
9	A. Off the record.
10	Q. Mr. Barker tells me Thursday was
11	the 23ra.
12	A. Ot '84?
13	MR. BARKER: Leap year.
14	Q. ^ That's according to a British
15	calendar so it may not be right.
16	MR. FLUMENBAUM: We are wasting
17	time.
18	A. I remember attending a meeting.
19	Q. In or about that week?
20	A. Yes.
21	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I don't think
22	anyone is concerned about the exact date.
23	Q. Is that the first meeting you
24	attended with respect to generic cigarettes at which a
25	representative of Design Works was also present?
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984  B 24 13833

-s3

	Freedman 84
1	A. To the best of my recollection.
2	Q. What were the circumstances
3.	under which you came to attend that meeting?
4	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection.
5	Attorney-client privilege, work product privilege.
6	Q. Who asked you to attend that
7	meeting?
8	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection.
9	Attorney-client privilege. Work product privilege.
10	Q. Did anyone from Design Works ask
11	you to attend that meeting?
12	MR. FLUMENBAUN: Objection.
13	Attorney-client privilege, work product privilege.
14	MR. HOGELAND: I press for an
15	answer to that question. I press for an answer to all
16	these questions, but that one is absurd.
17	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I stand by the
18	objection.
19	MR. HOGELAND: Is it an
20	instruction not to answer?
21	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Yes.
22	MR. HOGELAND: To the extent you
23	are asserting the attorney-client privilege, I believe
24	it was waived this week when Mrs. Beasley testified
25	that she asked Mr. Freedman she or someone from the

TANNENBAUM, RUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

	# I Leeuman #5
1	marketing department at Brown & Williamson.
2	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Well, I don't
3,	believe there has been a waiver of the attorney-client
4	privilege. Ask your next question.
5	O MR. HOGELAND: Your instruction
6	stands?
7	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Correct.
8	A. If I can just clarify a silly
9	point just for the record, my recollection is that the
10	Weanesday is correct and that the 24th is correct.
11	Q. Did Mr. Hunter ask you to attend
12	that meeting?
13	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Objection.
14	Instruct him not to answer.
15	Q. Did Mr. Jones ask you to attend
16	that meeting?
17	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Mr. Hogeland,
18	we've you are asking for communications between
19	lawyer and people who, for the record at this point,
20	demonstrates that he gave legal advice to, and I'm not
21	going to permit him to answer.
22	MR. HOGELAND: You misstated the
23	record, but, nevertheless
24	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Well
25	Q. Do you represent Mr. Hunter?
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN

B24 13835 .

	Preedman 86
ı	MR. FLUMENBAUM: What do you
2	mean by the word represent?
3	Q. Are you his lawyer?
4	MR. FLUMENBAUM: What do you
5	nean by that? Did he provide legal advice?
6	Q. Do you understand the question?
7	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I think that
8	question is ambiguous, did he provide legal advice to
9	Mr. Hunter in connection with this? We've repre-
10	sented on the record that that would so be his
11	testimony. If you want to ask him that question, you
12	can.
13	Q. I ask you are you Mr. Hunter's
14	lawyer or Design Works' lawyer or Mr. Jones' lawyer?
15	MR. HOGELAND: Are you in-
16	structing him not to answer?
17	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm in-
18	structing the representation that we've made, and that
19	is that he provided legal advice in connection with
20	these meetings. And the purpose of these meetings was
21	to obtain legal advice.
22	MR. HOGELAND: For whom?
23	HR. FLUMENBAUM: For both.
24	MR. HOGELAND: Now we have your
25	testimony on the record. I'm asking the witness if he

	Freedman 88
1	think that's what the record is at this point, and 1
2	think what you are asking for is an individual
3	communication, and I don't think that's appropriate.
4	MR. HOGELAND: To assert the
5	privilege that you are asserting, I'm not sure on
6	behalf of whom, does require the preexistence of
7	attorney-client relationship. I've asked this witness
8	if he was the attorney for Design Works, and you've
9	refused to let him answer. The record is clear. Are
10	you going to let him answer the question?
11	MR. FLUMENBAUM: You have Mr.
12	Hunter's testimony, you have Mr. Jones' testimony.
13	MR. HOGELAND: Are you going to
14	let the lawyer answer the question?
15	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Mr. Freedman
16	has testified that he provided legal advice to Design
17	Works.
18	MR. HOGELAND: I asked him if he
19	was Design Works' attorney. He can provide legal
20	advice to anybody he wants to.
21	MR. PLUMBNBAUM: Let's go
22	outside before you answer that.
23	(The deponent and his counsel left the room.)
24	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Read back the
25	last question, please.

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

## Preedman

1	(Whereupon the Court Reporter read the
2	record.)
3,5	Q. Mr. Freedman, you testified that
4	you gave legal advice to Design Works at the meeting
5	you attended on February 24, 1984, and my question
6	was: At whose request did you give that legal advice
7	to Design Works?
8	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm going to
9	let him answer that question, but without any waiver
10	of the work product or attorney-client privilege.
11	A. Both Brown & Williamson
12	representatives and Design Works representatives asked
13	me for my legal advice on how Design Works should
14	proceed in the project that is the subject of this
15	call report.
16	Q. Which representatives of Design
17	Works asked you for that?
18	A. To the best of my recollection
19	both Mr. Hunter and Mr. Jones.
20	Q. Both of them asked you that?
21	MR. FLUNENBAUM: He's answered
22	your question to the best of his recollection.
23	Q. What was the subject on which
24	they asked your legal advice?
25	MR. HOGELAND: I'm entitled to
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984  B24 13838

	Preedman 90
1	that.
2	MR. FLUMENBAUM: No, you are
<i>?</i> 3	not. Objection.
4	MR. HOGELAND: I'm clearly
5	entitled to that even if it were privileged even it
6	were bonafide privileged.
7	Will you allow Mr. Freedman to
8	answer the question now as to whether he is the
9	attorney for Design Works?
10	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm not sure
11	whether that question is capable of he gave legal
12	advice.
13	MR. HOGELAND: My question is:
14	Will you allow him to answer my question?
15	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I think the
16	question is objectionable as to form, and
17	MR. HOGELAND: It will be
18	easier, I'll ask it again and see what you say.
19	Q. Were you the attorney for Design
20	Works?
21	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Did he function
22	as an attorney for Design Works, is that what you are
23	asking?
24	MR. HOGELAND: I'm asking him if
25	he was, at the meeting on February 24, an attorney for
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984 624 13839

وجن

	Preedman 91
1	Design Works.
2	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I think you are
33	asking for a legal conclusion. He testified that he
4	was asked to give legal advice to Design Works at that
5	meeting, and
6	MR. HOGELAND: The subject that
7	you won't allow him to identify.
. 8	MR. FLUMENBAUM: He has
9	testified on the subject. But go ahead.
10	Q. What was the subject?
11	MR. FLUMENBAUM: He referred to
12	the call report that you have marked in evidence, and
13	you've identified those call reports. You don't need
14	him for any further information on that.
15	MR. HOGELAND: You are denying
16	Liggett discovery, obviously.
17	MR. FLUMENBAUM: No, I don't
18	believe so. I'm trying to prevent improper discovery
19	of an attorney.
20	MR. HOGELAND: You are denying
21	legal discovery.
22	MR. FLUMBNBAUM: It won't be the
23	first time that we've disagreed on the conduct of this
24	litigation.
25	BY MR. HOGELAND:

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

Freedman

٨.

1	Q. Mr. Freedman, did you artend a
2	meeting a series of meetings in early March at
3,	which a representative of Design Works was present?
4	A. Mr. Hogeland, I attended several
5	meetings at which representatives of Design Works were
6	present. I can't be specific as to March versus
7	Pebruary versus April.
8	Q. At each of those meetings
9	did you represent Design Works as an attorney?
10	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to
11	the form of that. I'll let you ask him if he provided
12	legal advice to Design Works.
13	MR. HOGELAND: Are you
14	instructing him not to answer the pending question?
15	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I think it's
16	objectionable.
17	MR. HOGELAND: Are you
18	instructing him not to answer?
19	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Yes.
20	(A discussion was held off the record between
21	the deponent and his counsel.)
22	Q. Who is Mr. Palk, Mr. Preedman?
23	A. Mr. Falk is a manager at Brown &
24	Williamson who has held warious mositions I cannot

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

B24 13841

Э

92

tell you his present title.

	Freedman 93
1	Q. I beg your pardon?
2	A. I cannot tell you what his
<b>-3</b>	present title is. I don't know what his present title
4	is. He's in the marketing department.
5	Q. Is he senior management?
6	A. He holds a director position of
7	some sort with the company. I can't say whether
8	that's senior or upper middle. He is a director.
9	©Q. Who is Mr. Neff?
10	A. Mr. Neff is in the purchasing
11	department. He either works with or supervises Mrs.
12	Beasley.
13	Q. Is he senior management?
14	A. His title is manager, to the
15	best of my knowledge. I don't know if I would
16	consider that senior or middle.
17	Q. What about Hrs. Beasley, do you
18	consider her senior management?
19	A. No, I do not.
20	Q. Mr. Hunter I assume you do not
21	consider senior management of Brown & Williamson?
22	A. I don't consider Mr. Hunter any
23	management of Brown & Williamson.
24	Q. What was the subject matter of
25	the meetings you attended at which one or more?
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984  824 13842

	rreedman 94
1	representatives of Design Works was present during the
2	period February, March of 1984?
33	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I'm going to
4	instruct him not to answer. You are asking for
5	privileged communications.
6	Q. I show you packages of
7	cigarettes that have been marked as Hunter Exhibit
8	3A, B and C, and ask you if packages that appear the
9	same as those exhibits were present at any of those
10	meetings?
11	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm going to
12	instruct him not to answer, on the grounds or
13	attorney-client and work product.
14	OMR. HOGELAND: I press for an
15	answer.
16	Q. Mr. Freedman, I show you an
17	exhibit that has previously been identified as Hunter
18	Exhibit 13, and I ask you if you have seen Hunter
19	Exhibit 13 before?
20	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I believe, Mr.
21	Hogeland, that if I allow him to answer that question,
22	we would be violating the attorney-client and work
23	product privilege. I'm just trying to go through my
24	mind the permutations on the answers. I don't think

TANNENBAUN, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

If you can --

B24 13843

that's appropriate.

	Preedman 95
1	Q. Mr. Freedman, I show you a four-
2	page document bearing Bate numbers 1338 through 1341
<i>?</i> 3	previously identified as Hunter Exhibit 19, which
4	includes as Page 1339 the document marked Hunter
5	Exhibit 13. I show you Hunter Exhibit 19 and ask you
6	if you saw it at any time prior to the institution of
7	this lawsuit?
8	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I'm going to
9	instruct him not to answer on the same basis.
10	MR. HOGELAND: I assume your
11	instruction would apply to any question that I might
12	ask about either of these exhibits; is that correct?
13	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I can only
14	instruct him not to answer specific questions.
15	Q. I show you Hunter Exhibit 13 and
16	call your attention to the redaction noted on that
17	page. Is the information redacted anything that you
18	put on that document?
19	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Well, I think
20	you are asking well
21	MR. HOGELAND: Perhaps, if I had
22	a privilege law, I wouldn't have to ask.
23	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I just want to

make sure we are not going to violate the privilege by

B24 13844

Э

answering.

24

	Freedman 96
1.	(The deponent and his counsel left the
2	room.)
<i>≥</i> 3	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I believe we
4	informed you by letter, but the material that was
5	redacted from this particular document was redacted on
6	grounds of attorney-client privilege.
7	MR. BARKER: Right.
8	MR. HOGELAND: That's correct.
9	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Okay. And I
10	cannot allow Mr. Freedman to answer your question
11	without seeming to me to waive his attorney-client
12	privilege and work product responsibility.
13	MR. BARKER: Are you testifying
14	that it's his
15	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm not.
16	Absolutely not. I'm saying that if he testifies one
17	way or the other that it might violate his attorney-
18	client and work product privilege. And I'm not going
19	to allow him to.
20	MR. HOGELAND: Presumably if we
21	had a privilege law, I would know the answers to these
22	questions.
23	Q. Did you ever write on the
24	document that is Hunter Exhibit 13, on the original of
25	the document, Mr. Preedman?

B24 13845°

	Freedman 97
1	WR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm going to
2	instruct him not to answer.
а.	MR. HOGELAND: Are you going to
4	give us a privilege law at sometime, Marty?
5	O MR. FLUMENBAUM: At sometime we
6	will. We've had other things we've had to do for you
7	that I think are a greater priority at the moment.
8	MR. HOGELAND: There are a lot
9	of things sthat are great priorities. You should
10	attend to all of them.
11	Q Mr. Freedman, did you receive
12	and review any documents or artwork produced or
13	created by Design Works during the period Pebruary and
14	March 1984 relating to project G or generic
15	cigarettes?
16	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Attorney-
17	client privilege. Work product.
18	MR. HOGELAND: Are you
19	instructing him not to answer?
20	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Uh-huh.
21	MR. HOGELAND: Can we take
22	another 10 minutes?
23	MR. PLUMENBAUM: As you wish.
24	(A short recess was taken.)
25	Q Mr. Freedman, do you within the
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

B24 13846

http://legacy.library.ucsf.edu/trd/dhr07a00/pdf/.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/kmxl0001

	Preedman 98
1	law department or Brown & Williamson have re-
2	sponsibility for matters concerning this case?
3.	MR. PLUMENBAUM: How do you mean
4	the term responsibility? Does he have some responsi-
5	bilities involving matters involving this case?
6	MR. HOGELAND: I'll take that.
7	MR. FLUNENBAUM: You can answer.
8	A. The question as amended? I'm
9	sorry. 9
10	Q. Within the law department of
11	Brown & Williamson do you have some responsibilities
12	concerning this case?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Do those responsibilities
15	include responsibilities for supervising or creating
16	file searches and gathering documents for production
17	to Paul, Weiss?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. In carrying out those re-
20	sponsibilities do you review Liggett's request for
21	documents?
22	A. I have reviewed some, and others
23	I seek advice of counsel.
24	Q. And do you issue instructions to
25	Brown & Williamson employees to forward to you or
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN

824 13847 a

, s.

	Freedman 99
1	directly to New York, documents?
2	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection.
35	Calling for privileged communications and work product
4	communications.
5	O Q. Are the documents gathered by
6	you and then transferred to Paul, Weiss, or do they go
7	directly from employee files to Paul, Weiss?
8	MR. FLUMENBAUM: What's the
9	relevance of this to this lawsuit?
10	MR. HOGELAND: Are you in-
11	structing him not to answer?
12	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I want you to
13	state the relevance.
4	MR. HOGELAND: Are you
1.5	instructing him not to answer? This is discovery. We
16	are not talking about relevance, we are talking about
17	discovery.
18	MR. FLUMENBAUM: You just can't
l 9	ask witnesses irrelevant questions. What's the basis
20	for the question?
21	MR. HOGELAND: Are you in-
22	structing him not to answer?
23	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'd like a
24	statement of relevancy.
25	MR. HCGELAND: I don't intend to

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

	Freedman 100
1	make a statement or relevance when I'm taking
2	discovery.
33	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I think you are
4	asking for work product and privileged communications.
5	O MR. HOGELAND: Are you in-
6	structing him not to answer?
7	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'd like you to
8	make a statement of relevance. I will
9	MR. HOGELAND: If it were
10	relevant work product, you would that doesn't make
11	any sense, Marty.
12	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I think it's
13	irrelevant, and I also think you are seeking work
14	product information, and I don't see any
15	MR. HOGELAND: Off the record.
16	(A discussion was held off the record.)
17	Q. Did there come a time when you
18	obtained possession of certain documents from Design
19	Works?
20	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Again without
21	waiving the attorney-client privilege or work product
22	privilege, I'll let him answer that question.
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. When was that?
25	A. I can't come close to a precise
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984 R 24 4 9 8 4 0

B24 13849 .

		Preedman 101
	1	gate.
e).	2	Q. Was it in 1984?
	۶3	A. No. It was in connection with
	4	the litigation.
	5	MR. PLUMENBAUM: NO
	6	recollection, that's your answer.
	7	Q. Was it after the commencement of
	8	this lawsuit?
	9	A. Yes, I said in connection with
	10	the litigation.
	11	Q. Did you ask anybody at Design
	12	Works to send you documents?
	13	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Objection.
	14	AR. HOGELAND: Instruction not
	15	to answer?
	16	MR? FLUMENBAUM: Uh-huh.
	17	(A discussion was held off the record
	18	between Mr. Hogeland and Mr. Barker.)
	19	Q. Was anyone else at Brown &
	20	Williamson involved in the gathering of documents for
	21	production to Paul, Weiss?
	22	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I think you are
	23	asking for work product information. And I don't see
	24	the relevance of it. I'll let him again answer that
	25	one question, but I'm not going to let you go into the
		TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984
		B24 13850°

-35

	Preedman	102
l	document production.	That's pure work product.
2		MR. HOGELAND: I understand your
<b>3</b> .>	position.	
4	A.	There were tons and tons of
5	people at Brown & Will	liamson involved in this
6	production.	
7	<u>ي</u> و.	I'm sorry, was anyone else in
8	the law department in	olved?
9	э	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Answer either
10	yes or no.	
11	<b>A.</b> *	Yes.
12	Q.	Who?
13		MR. FLUMENBAUM: What's the
14	purpose of this?	·
15		MR. HOGELAND: I'm having
16	discovery.	♥
17		MR. FLUMENBAUM: You are wasting
18	time is what you are	doing.
19		MR. HOGELAND: We will be out of
20	here long before noon	as I've said. 9
21		MR. PLUMENBAUM: I'll let you
22	just give the names.	•
23	λ.	Various secretaries.
24	Q.	I'll amend the question. Any
25	other lawyers in the	law department?

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

B24 13851.

	Freedman 103
1	A. I don't know.
2	Q. Mr. Freedman, did you give an
3	affidavit in this lawsuit?
4	A. Yes, I did.
5	MR. HOGELAND: Would you mark
6	this as Freedman Exhibit 6
7	<b>?</b>
8	(A two-page affidavit was marked
9	Preedman Deposition Exhibit 6, for
10	identification, a copy of which is attached
11	hereto and made a part hereof.)
12	MR. HOGELAND: Wait a minute,
13	Marty. It's unstapled.
14	(A discussion was held off the record.)
15	Q. I show you Freedman Exhibit 6
16	and ask you if you can identify it?
17	A. It purports to be a two-page
18	affidavit signed by me; the second page contains my
19	signature.
20	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Just for the
21	record it's dated August 21st, 1984.
22	A. The first page to the best of my
23	recollection is a copy of the actual affidavit that I
24	signed.
25	Q. Exhibit 6, as far as you can
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202

	Preedman 104
1	tell, is a copy or the affidavit that you made August
2	21, 1984, before the notary name; is that correct?
,3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Did you
5	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Just for the
6	record, this document was submitted in connection with
7	motions that were made in this litigation before the
8	United States District Court for the Middle District
9	of North Garolina, Durham Division. This is a
10	publicly-filed document.
11	MR. HOGELAND: That's correct.
12	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Okay.
13	MR. HOGELAND: We were never
14	served with a signed copy, so I just had to get it
15	Federal Expressed in this morning.
16	Q. Where did you swear to this
17	affidavit, Mr. Freedman?
18	A. At my office in Louisville,
19	Kentucky.
20	Q. Did you prepare the affidavit?
21	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Objection.
22	MR. HOGELAND: You instructing
23	him not to answer?
24	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Yes. You are
25	going beyond the August 5th beyond the February 5th

	Freedman 105
1	order, and
2	MR. HOGELAND: I'm not going
3⊳	beyond any order.
4	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Of course, you
5	are.
6	MR. HOGELAND: Prior sworn
7	statement of this witness, I simply want it of record.
8	Are you instructing him not to answer?
. 9	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Yes, your last
10	question.
11	Q. When you swore to the affidavit,
12	which is Exhibit 6, was it your understanding that it
13	would be filed in this action?
14	A. "I don't recall what my under-
15	standing was at the time.
16	Q. You don't recall? And your
17	counsel won't let you at whose request did you
18	execute this affidavit?
19	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I don't see
20	what basis this has to any permissible areas of
21	inquiry today.
22	MR. HOGELAND: It makes a lot of
23	difference in the positions you have taken and the
24	positions the witness has taken.
25	MR. PLUMBNBAUM: Explain that to

	Freedman 106
1	me.
2	MR. HOGELAND: I don't have to
3%	explain anything to you. This witness has made
4	himself a fact witness in this action.
5	ි MR. PLUMENBAUM: I disagree.
6	MR. HOGELAND: You may disagree
7	all you want. He's acknowledged the affidavit. And
8	the affidavit
9	o MR. PLUMENBAUM: That's right.
10	MR. HOGELAND: in fact was
11	filed.
12	MR. FLUMENBAUM: Fine. Then we
13	are not in dispute. So let's go on to another
14	subject.
15	MR. HOGELAND: Subject to
16	getting answers to all the questions that you have
17	directed the witness not to answer, I have no further
18	questions at this time.
19	MR. PLUMENBAUM: Thank you.
20	(A discussion was held off the record.)
21	NR. HOGELAND: Let's go back on
22	the record.
23	Just while we are here on the
24	record, I want Mr. Barker to make a couple of
25	statements about the document production situation
	TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202

16

17

18

19

20

Preedman 107

l | which he is tamiliar with.

2 MR. BARKER: Marty, at the 3 deposition that has taken place this morning, you expressed an interpretation of our prior document 4 requests that I just want to clarify. 5 6 understanding that you had agreed to produce documents 7 concerning prices offered or actually given to Brown & 8 Williamson's generic cigarette customers. And it was 9 our interpsetation that that included private label 10 customers who were offered Brown & Williamson's 11 private label ĉigarettes. That's been our under-12 standing for the last two months. Is that an 13 incorrect understanding? 14 MR. FLUMENBAUM: Do you have any

other comments that you are going to make on the record?

MR. BARKER: That's number one.

Am I not going to get an answer to that question?

MR. PLUMENBAUM: I want to hear everything you are going to say, and then I'll --

21 MR. BARKER: My second one
22 doesn't relate to this, but I expressed yesterday that

23 the witness, Cindy Stephens, who I specifically 24 requested the document deposition person have

25 knowledge about documentation in the field, she

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

-Δ

Freedman 108

testified that she didn't have any knowledge of the field documentation, and so we would request that Brown & Williamson, that they provide us with a witness who knows about field documentation, and we would request somebody next week on that issue.

MR. PLUMENBAUM: Okay. With respect to the latter, I'll take your request under advisement. I recall informing you that I believe that your notice was much too broad, and that there would be no one person. Perhaps if you eventually modified it to ask for people who had sales office expertise, and I thought she fitted that.

With respect to the private label, I believe in terms of what we've agreed to produce in terms of documents, I believe that that is that your statement was an accurate statement, and I will double-check that.

MR. BARKER: That you have provided private label offers?

MR. FLUMENBAUM: Documents relating to private label offers. I think that is an accurate statement. I will double-check that when I get back to New York. That is my current understanding, and I don't want to commit to something and find out I was wrong. That is my understanding.

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

te;

	Preedman 109
1	MR. BARKER: As long as you get
2	back to us as soon as possible on that issue.
3	MR. FLUMENBAUM: It won't be
4	today.
5	O MR. BARKER: In addition, just
6	for the record
7	MR. FLUMENBAUM: I thought I
8	said I wasn't going to respond until you were done.
9	MR. BARKER: I have some
10	response to the statement that you made, specifically
11	would draw your attention to my June 12th, 1985
12	letter, where I requested that somebody that the
13	sales department document deposition be somebody who
14	was familiar with communications between Brown &
15	Williamson's head office and Brown & Williamson's
16	field sales force with respect to offers and sales of
17	Brown & Williamson cigarettes, and
18	MR. PLUMENBAUM: I think
19	there were additional things in that demand that
20	requested in any event, we've responded to your
21	letters, we've responded, we've produced a witness.
22	You have noticed substantive witnesses already who
23	probably will answer most of those questions, in any
24	event.
25	MR. BARKER: But the August 8th

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

-3

Freedman 110 ì deadline is coming upon us, and we believe that there 2 are substantial documentation that we haven't re-3> ceived to date. MR. FLUMENBAUM: We are still 5 reviewing files. There is no question about that. 6 And we are doing a very -- what I hope is a very 7 thorough search, and there are still documents that 8 have to be reviewed, there is no question about that, 9 and we areemoving on that, and I have so informed you 10 before. 11 MR. BARKER: Thank you. 12 MR. FLUMENBAUM: All right. 13 Thank you. 14 MR. HOGELAND: Off the record. 15 (A discussion was had off the record.) 16 (Witness excused.) 17 18 19 STATE OF KENTUCKY 20 COUNTY OF JEFFERSON) 21 I, ALICE TANNENBAUN, a notary public within and for the State at Large aforesaid, do hereby 23 certify that the foregoing is a true, correct and 24 complete transcript of the deposition of BARTON 25 PREEDMAN, taken at the time and place set out in the

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

**B24** 13859 &

Freedman

caption, including objections and remarks of counsel; that the deposition was taken pursuant to notice; that the said witness was duly sworn before giving his deposition; that the appearances were as set out in the caption hereof; that the said deposition was taken down by me in stenotypy and afterwards transcribed on a computer under my direction.

I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel, for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition is taken; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, or financially interested in the action.

Given under my hand as notary aforesaid, this the 29th day of June, 1985.

My commission expires September 5, 1987.

 ALICE TANNENBAUM, C.P., C.M.

Court Reporter and Notary Public

TANNENBAUM, KUCHENBROD & PATEN Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 587-1984

824 13860

http://legacy.library.ucsf.edu/tro/elhr07a00/pdf/.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/kmxl0001

d 70.